For The Period July 1, 2005 Through June 30, 2006



CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS www.auditor.ky.gov

105 SEA HERO ROAD, SUITE 2 FRANKFORT, KY 40601-5404 TELEPHONE (502) 573-0050 FACSIMILE (502) 573-0067



CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

June 27, 2007

Sarah Hawker, Vice President Kentucky Adult Education Council on Postsecondary Education 1024 Capital Center Drive, Suite 250 Frankfort, KY 40601

Daniel Freeman, Superintendent Montgomery County Board of Education 700 Woodford Drive Mt. Sterling, KY 40353

Re: Adult Education Grants

Dear Ms. Hawker and Mr. Freeman:

This report contains the results of the performance audit of Montgomery County Board of Education's administration of its Adult Education Grants for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2006. The Council on Postsecondary Education and Kentucky Adult Education contracted with us to conduct performance audits of selected local adult education providers. This report represents our findings, recommendations, and the provider's responses.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with applicable standards contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States of America.

We greatly appreciate the courtesies and cooperation extended to our staff during the audit.

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen Auditor of Public Accounts

105 SEA HERO ROAD, SUITE 2 FRANKFORT, KY 40601-5404

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER M / F / D

83

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	PAGE
RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .	2
FINANCIAL REPORTING AND COMPLIANCE	22
PARTICIPANT ELIGIBILITY AND RECORD K	EEPING3
PAYROLL AND STAFF REQUIREMENTS	4
PURCHASING/EXPENDITURE COMPLIANCE	5
PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT REIMBURS	EMENT COMPLIANCE6
INTERNAL CONTROLS RELATING TO GRAN	T7

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The Council on Postsecondary Education and Kentucky Adult Education (KYAE) selected the Montgomery County Board of Education (local provider) for a limited scope performance audit of its administration of the Adult Education Grant for Montgomery County. An on-site review was conducted on April 30, 2007 through May 1, 2007 to address the following objectives:

- Reconcile student and faculty data electronically submitted to KYAE with the information retained by the local provider.
- Determine whether the local provider's professional development activities comply with applicable professional development policies and procedures.
- Determine whether local providers' expenditures and costs comply with the terms of their grant agreement and reconcile to invoices submitted.
- Report internal control weaknesses identified during our audit that relate to the audit's objectives.

To accomplish these objectives, the performance audit team reviewed the Policy and Procedure Manual for Kentucky Adult Education, as well as the provider's grant proposal and final agreement with KYAE. During the on-site review, the audit team reviewed the provider's accounting records (trial balance/general ledger), interviewed program administrators regarding internal controls related to the above grants, and tested samples of participant files, personnel files, and expenditure documentation for compliance with applicable requirements.

No exceptions were noted for this provider.

RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

FINANCIAL REPORTING AND COMPLIANCE

Scope and Methodology

The KAE-10 Expenditure Reports were compared to the line item budget approved in the final agreement modification. This comparison was used to determine whether the provider's reimbursements complied with the approved budget.

The KAE-10 Expenditure Reports were then compared to the internally generated financial records maintained by the local provider. This comparison was used to determine whether the local provider's accounting records support the total amount requested for reimbursement on the KAE-10 Expenditure Reports.

Findings

PARTICIPANT ELIGIBILITY AND RECORD KEEPING

Scope and Methodology

Providers are required to submit participant data electronically using KYAE's management information system, AERIN. A total of 60 participants were selected randomly from AERIN. The electronic data was then compared to the documentation maintained in the participant files. We examined the files for the following:

- Proper eligibility documentation.
- Proper assessment testing.
- Evidence to support the achievement of goals/objectives.
- Evidence to support attendance.
- Proper separation from the program when applicable.
- Other requirements were tested based upon the applicable program requirements.

The participants tested received services during the period of July 1, 2005 and June 30, 2006.

Findings

PAYROLL AND STAFF REQUIREMENTS

Scope and Methodology

A sample of payroll disbursements for the nine employees paid through the Adult Education Grant, representing 10% of the total payroll expenditures, was judgmentally selected for payroll testing. Personnel files were examined to verify that staff had received the required professional development training hours. Timesheets were examined for existence and approval. Personnel files were examined to verify evidence of the instructor's bachelor's degree. A determination was made as to whether the employee was included in the staff listing information reported to KYAE.

Findings

PURCHASING/EXPENDITURE COMPLIANCE

Scope and Methodology

A sample of 6 expenditures, representing 24% of the total purchases, was selected judgmentally from the detailed general ledger. These expenditures were tested for authorization/approval, supporting documentation, proper recording, and that it was an actual expense during the grant period of July 1, 2005 and June 30, 2006.

Findings

PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT REIMBURSEMENT COMPLIANCE

Scope and Methodology

Professional Development expenditures were tested for proper authorization, supporting documentation, and adherence to approved rates and reimbursement policies. A sample, representing 49% of the total professional development expenditures, was selected judgmentally from the detailed general ledger.

Findings

INTERNAL CONTROLS RELATING TO GRANT

Scope and Methodology

Montgomery County Board of Education's fiscal administrator of the Adult Education Grant was given an Internal Control Questionnaire regarding the controls in place for cash disbursements, bank reconciliation's, revenue, expenditures, and payroll. The questionnaire was completed by the fiscal administrator and reviewed for any significant control deficiencies.

Findings

No significant control deficiencies noted.