REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE MAGOFFIN COUNTY SHERIFF

For The Year Ended December 31, 2021



MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS auditor.ky.gov

209 ST. CLAIR STREET FRANKFORT, KY 40601-1817 TELEPHONE (502) 564-5841 FACSIMILE (502) 564-2912

<u>CONTENTS</u> PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS	4
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT	6
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	13
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES	17





MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Matthew Wireman, Magoffin County Judge/Executive The Honorable Carson Montgomery, Magoffin County Sheriff Members of the Magoffin County Fiscal Court

Report on the Audit of the Financial Statement

Opinions

We have audited the accompanying Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Excess Fees - Regulatory Basis of the Sheriff of Magoffin County, Kentucky, for the year ended December 31, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statement.

Unmodified Opinion on Regulatory Basis of Accounting

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statement presents fairly, in all material respects, the receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the Magoffin County Sheriff for the year ended December 31, 2021, in accordance with the basis of accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the Commonwealth of Kentucky as described in Note 1.

Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

In our opinion, because of the significance of the matter discussed in the Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles section of our report, the financial statement does not present fairly, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of the Magoffin County Sheriff, as of December 31, 2021, or changes in financial position or cash flows thereof for the year then ended.

Basis for Opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS) and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the *Audit Program for County Fee Officials*. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statement section of our report. We are required to be independent of the Magoffin County Sheriff and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



AUDITOR . KY . GOV

The Honorable Matthew Wireman, Magoffin County Judge/Executive The Honorable Carson Montgomery, Magoffin County Sheriff Members of the Magoffin County Fiscal Court

Basis for Opinion (Continued)

Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

As described in Note 1 of the financial statement, the financial statement is prepared by the Magoffin County Sheriff on the basis of the accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the laws of Kentucky to demonstrate compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting and budget laws, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The effects on the financial statement of the variances between the regulatory basis of accounting described in Note 1 and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, although not reasonably determinable, are presumed to be material and pervasive.

Responsibilities of Management for the Financial Statement

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of this financial statement in accordance with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the laws of Kentucky to demonstrate compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting and budget laws. Management is also responsible for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of a financial statement that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statement

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement as a whole is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinions. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the financial statement.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statement, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that
 are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the
 effectiveness of the Magoffin County Sheriff's internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is
 expressed.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the financial statement.
- Conclude whether, in our judgment, there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the Magoffin County Sheriff's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control-related matters that we have identified during the audit.

The Honorable Matthew Wireman, Magoffin County Judge/Executive The Honorable Carson Montgomery, Magoffin County Sheriff Members of the Magoffin County Fiscal Court

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated October 12, 2022, on our consideration of the Magoffin County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, grant agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Magoffin County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Based on the results of our audit, we have presented the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses, included herein, which discusses the following report comments:

2021-001	The Sheriff Has Significant Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Penalties And Interest From Past Years
	That Continue To Accumulate And Need To Be Settled With The IRS
2021-002	The Sheriff Failed To Properly Settle Multiple Prior Accounts And Comingled Funds From Several
	Accounts
2021-003	The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties
2021-004	The Sheriff's Fourth Quarter Report Was Materially Misstated

Respectfully submitted,

Mike Harmon Auditor of Public Accounts

Frankfort, KY

October 12, 2022

MAGOFFIN COUNTY CARSON MONTGOMERY, SHERIFF STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS

For The Year Ended December 31, 2021

Receipts

State - Kentucky Law Enforcement Foundation Program Fund (KLEFP	F)		\$ 15,267
State Fees For Services: Finance and Administration Cabinet Sheriff Security Service Cabinet For Health And Family Services	\$	76,806 30,746 20,056	127,608
Fiscal Court			136,095
County Clerk - Delinquent Taxes			19,711
Commission On Taxes Collected			167,646
Fees Collected For Services: Auto Inspections Accident and Police Reports Carry Concealed Deadly Weapon Permits Arrest Fees Telecom Tax Mountain Comprehensive Care Grant Accident Photos		2,145 983 2,830 310 999 21,060 100	28,427
Other: Add-On Fees Miscellaneous Interest Earned		17,475 5,177	22,652
Borrowed Money: State Advancement			45,000
Total Receipts			562,428

MAGOFFIN COUNTY CARSON MONTGOMERY, SHERIFF STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS For The Year Ended December 31, 2021 (Continued)

Disbursements

Operating Disbursements and Capital Outlay: Personnel Services-			
Deputies' Salaries	\$ 212,311		
Overtime	1,323		
Employee Benefits-	-,		
Employer's Share Social Security	24,698		
Employer Paid Health Insurance	41,779		
Contracted Services-	,		
Advertising	130		
Vehicle Maintenance and Repairs	9,727		
Phones	6,672		
Materials and Supplies-	,		
Office Materials and Supplies	10,012		
Uniforms	6,769		
Auto Expense-			
Gasoline	40,910		
Maintenance and Repairs	8,100		
Radio	1,124		
Other Charges-			
Conventions and Travel	2,206		
Dues	633		
Postage	94		
Bond	1,985		
Insurance	2,924	371,397	
Debt Service:			
State Advancement		45,000	
	_	<u> </u>	
Total Disbursements			\$ 416,397
Net Receipts			146,031
Less: Statutory Maximum			91,796
Excess Fees			54,235
Less: Training Incentive Benefit			8,686
Balance Due Fiscal Court at Completion of Audit			\$ 45,549

MAGOFFIN COUNTY NOT<u>ES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT</u>

December 31, 2021

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

A fee official uses a fund to report on the results of operations. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

A fee official uses a fund for fees to account for activities for which the government desires periodic determination of the excess of receipts over disbursements to facilitate management control, accountability, and compliance with laws.

B. Basis of Accounting

KRS 64.820 directs the fiscal court to collect any amount, including excess fees, due from the sheriff as determined by the audit. KRS 134.192 requires the sheriff to pay to the governing body of the county any fees, commissions, and other income of his or her office, including income from investments, which exceed the sum of his or her maximum salary as permitted by the Constitution and other reasonable expenses, including compensation of deputies and assistants by March 15 of each year. KRS 64.830 requires an outgoing sheriff to make a final settlement with the fiscal court of his county by March 15 immediately following the expiration of his term of office.

The financial statement has been prepared on a regulatory basis of accounting, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. This basis demonstrates compliance with the laws of Kentucky and is a special purpose framework. Under this regulatory basis of accounting, receipts and disbursements are generally recognized when cash is received or disbursed, with the exception of accrual of the following items (not all-inclusive) as of December 31 that may be included in the excess fees calculation:

- Interest receivable
- Collection on accounts due from others for 2021 services
- Reimbursements for 2021 activities
- Tax commissions due from December tax collections
- Payments due other governmental entities for payroll
- Payments due vendors for goods or services provided in 2021

The measurement focus of a fee official is upon excess fees. Remittance of excess fees is due to the county treasurer in the subsequent year.

C. Cash and Investments

KRS 66.480 authorizes the sheriff's office to invest in obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

Note 2. Employee Retirement System and Other Post-Employment Benefits

The sheriff's office has elected to participate, pursuant to KRS 78.530, in the County Employees Retirement System (CERS), which is administered by the Kentucky Public Pensions Authority (KPPA). This is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer, defined benefit pension plan, which covers all eligible full-time employees and provides for retirement, disability, and death benefits to plan members. Benefit contributions and provisions are established by statute.

Nonhazardous

Nonhazardous covered employees are required to contribute five percent of their salary to the plan. Nonhazardous covered employees who begin participation on or after September 1, 2008, are required to contribute six percent of their salary to be allocated as follows: five percent will go to the member's account and one percent will go to the CERS insurance fund.

In accordance with Senate Bill 2, signed by the Governor on April 4, 2013, plan members who began participating on or after January 1, 2014, were required to contribute to the Cash Balance Plan. The Cash Balance Plan is known as a hybrid plan because it has characteristics of both a defined benefit plan and a defined contribution plan. Members in the plan contribute a set percentage of their salary each month to their own accounts. Nonhazardous covered employees contribute five percent of their annual creditable compensation. Nonhazardous members also contribute one percent to the health insurance fund which is not credited to the member's account and is not refundable. The employer contribution rate is set annually by the CERS Board of Directors based on an actuarial valuation. The employer contributes a set percentage of the member's salary. Each month, when employer contributions are received, an employer pay credit is deposited to the member's account. A member's account is credited with a four percent employer pay credit. The employer pay credit represents a portion of the employer contribution.

Benefits fully vest on reaching five years of service for nonhazardous employees. Aspects of benefits for nonhazardous employees include retirement after 27 years of service or age 65. Nonhazardous employees who begin participation on or after September 1, 2008, must meet the rule of 87 (member's age plus years of service credit must equal 87, and the member must be a minimum of 57 years of age) or the member is age 65, with a minimum of 60 months service credit.

The county's contribution rate for nonhazardous employees was 24.06 percent for the first six months and 26.95 percent for the last six months.

Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB)

A. Health Insurance Coverage - Tier 1

CERS provides post-retirement health care coverage as follows:

For members participating prior to July 1, 2003, years of service and respective percentages of the maximum contribution are as follows:

Note 2. Employee Retirement System and Other Post-Employment Benefits (Continued)

Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB) (Continued)

A. Health Insurance Coverage - Tier 1 (Continued)

CERS provides post-retirement health care coverage as follows:

For members participating prior to July 1, 2003, years of service and respective percentages of the maximum contribution are as follows:

Years of Service	% Paid by Insurance Fund	% Paid by Member through Payroll Deduction
20 or more	100%	0%
15-19	75%	25%
10-14	50%	50%
4-9	25%	75%
Less than 4	0%	100%

As a result of House Bill 290 (2004 General Assembly), medical insurance benefits are calculated differently for members who began participation on or after July 1, 2003. Once members reach a minimum vesting period of ten years, non-hazardous employees whose participation began on or after July 1, 2003, earn ten dollars per month for insurance benefits at retirement for every year of earned service without regard to a maximum dollar amount. This dollar amount is subject to adjustment annually based on the retiree cost of living adjustment, which is updated annually due to changes in the Consumer Price Index.

Benefits are covered under KRS 78.5536.

B. Health Insurance Coverage - Tier 2 and Tier 3 - Nonhazardous

Once members reach a minimum vesting period of 15 years, they earn ten dollars per month for insurance benefits at retirement for every year of earned service without regard to a maximum dollar amount. This dollar amount is subject to adjustment annually by 1.5 percent. This was established for Tier 2 members during the 2008 Special Legislative Session by House Bill 1. During the 2013 Legislative Session, Senate Bill 2 was enacted, creating Tier 3 benefits for members.

The monthly insurance benefit has been increased annually as a 1.5 percent cost of living adjustment (COLA) since July 2003 when the law changed. The annual increase is cumulative and continues to accrue after the member's retirement.

Tier 2 member benefits are covered by KRS 78.5536. Tier 3 members are not covered by the same provisions.

C. Cost of Living Adjustments - Tier 1

The 1996 General Assembly enacted an automatic cost of living adjustment (COLA) provision for all recipients of Kentucky Retirement Systems benefits. During the 2008 Special Session, the General Assembly determined that each July beginning in 2009, retirees who have been receiving a retirement allowance for at least 12 months will receive an automatic COLA of 1.5 percent. The COLA is not a guaranteed benefit. If a retiree has been receiving a benefit for less than 12 months, and a COLA is provided, it will be prorated based on the number of months the recipient has been receiving a benefit.

Note 2. Employee Retirement System and Other Post-Employment Benefits (Continued)

Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB) (Continued)

D. Cost of Living Adjustments - Tier 2 and Tier 3

No COLA is given unless authorized by the legislature with specific criteria. To this point, no COLA has been authorized by the legislature for Tier 2 or Tier 3 members.

E. Death Benefit

If a retired member is receiving a monthly benefit based on at least 48 months of service credit, KRS will pay a \$5,000 death benefit payment to the beneficiary designated by the member specifically for this benefit. Members with multiple accounts are entitled to only one death benefit.

Kentucky Retirement System Annual Financial Report and Proportionate Share Audit Report

Kentucky Retirement System issues a publicly available annual financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information on CERS. This report may be obtained by writing the Kentucky Retirement Systems, 1260 Louisville Road, Frankfort, KY 40601-6124, or by telephone at (502) 564-4646.

Kentucky Retirement System also issues proportionate share audit reports for both total pension liability and other post-employment benefits for CERS determined by actuarial valuation as well as each participating county's proportionate share. Both the Schedules of Employer Allocations and Pension Amounts by Employer and the Schedules of Employer Allocations and OPEB Amounts by Employer reports and the related actuarial tables are available online at https://kyret.ky.gov. The complete actuarial valuation report, including all actuarial assumptions and methods, is also available on the website or can be obtained as described in the paragraph above.

Note 3. Deposits

The Magoffin County Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with federally insured banking institutions as required by the Department for Local Government's (DLG) County Budget Preparation and State Local Finance Officer Policy Manual. The DLG Manual strongly recommends perfected pledges of securities covering all public funds except direct federal obligations and funds protected by federal insurance. In order to be perfected in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution. These requirements were met.

Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a depository institution failure, the sheriff's deposits may not be returned. The Magoffin County Sheriff does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk, but rather follows the requirements of the DLG County Budget Preparation and State Local Finance Officer Policy Manual. As of December 31, 2021, all deposits were covered by FDIC insurance or a properly executed collateral security agreement.

Note 4. Grant

The Magoffin County Sheriff's office maintains an annually renewed grant agreement with Mountain Comprehensive Care Center as a collaborative and coordinated effort to ensure that sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, and stalking are treated seriously. The funds allotted by the Mountain Comprehensive Care Center maintains the sheriff's department as a primary partner on the project, a member of the Project Management Team, and an active participant with Mountain Comprehensive Care Center and its partners in the Office of Violence to Women training, planning and implementing the Magoffin Advocacy Support Program by providing office space to an advocate of Mountain Comprehensive Care Center, as needed, providing referrals to the program, as appropriate, and utilizing a 0.50 full-time-employed deputy to enforce and serve protection orders and provide other law enforcement support in tandem with the project Advocates. As per the agreement, the Mountain Comprehensive Care Center allocates \$1,755 monthly to secure the aforementioned services of the Magoffin County Sheriff's Department. The total funds received for the year ending December 31, 2021, were \$21,060.

Note 5. Asset Forfeiture Account

A. Federal Forfeiture Account

The Magoffin County Sheriff's office maintains two official bank accounts (federal and state) for monies obtained from seizures and sales of assets forfeited as a result of successful drug-related court convictions. The funds are used to purchase equipment for the sheriff's office. The beginning balances for the federal asset forfeiture account as of January 1, 2021, was \$32,364. The sheriff did not receive or expend any funds during the current year. However, the federal account earned \$16 in interest leaving an ending balance as of December 31, 2021, of \$32,380.

B. State Forfeiture Account

The beginning balance for the state forfeiture account as of January 1, 2021, was \$11,188. The sheriff did not receive any funds during the current year. The sheriff expended \$5,000 to the fee account for payroll. The state account earned interest in the amount of \$5, leaving a balance of \$6,193 as of December 31, 2021.

Note 6. Short Term Debt

A. Direct Borrowing - State Advancement

The Magoffin County Sheriff's office received state advancement of funds in the amount of \$45,000 to defray the expenses of the office for 2021. The loan was repaid on December 17, 2021.

B. Changes in Short-term Debt

	Beginning			Ending
	Balance	Additions	Reductions	Balance
State Advancement	\$	\$ 45,000	\$ 45,000	\$
Total Short-term Debt	\$ 0	\$ 45,000	\$ 45,000	\$ 0

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS





MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Matthew Wireman, Magoffin County Judge/Executive The Honorable Carson Montgomery, Magoffin County Sheriff Members of the Magoffin County Fiscal Court

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Excess Fees - Regulatory Basis of the Magoffin County Sheriff for the year ended December 31, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statement and have issued our report thereon dated October 12, 2022. The Magoffin County Sheriff's financial statement is prepared on a regulatory basis of accounting, which demonstrates compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting and budget laws, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statement, we considered the Magoffin County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Magoffin County Sheriff's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Magoffin County Sheriff's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statement will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiencies described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses as items 2021-001, 2021-002, 2021-003, and 2021-004 to be material weaknesses.



Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards* (Continued)

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Magoffin County Sheriff's financial statement is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statement. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses as items 2021-001, 2021-002, and 2021-004.

Views of Responsible Official and Planned Corrective Action

The Magoffin County Sheriff's views and planned corrective action for the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses. The Magoffin County Sheriff's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statement and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

Mike Harmon

Auditor of Public Accounts

Frankfort, KY

October 12, 2022





MAGOFFIN COUNTY CARSON MONTGOMERY, SHERIFF SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES

For The Year Ended December 31, 2021

FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS:

2021-001 The Sheriff Has Significant Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Penalties and Interest From Past Years
That Continue To Accumulate And Need To Be Settled With The IRS

This is a repeat finding and was included in the prior year audit report as finding 2020-001. The total amount of IRS penalties is \$62,935. The office manager stated that these penalties and interest have not been paid because they would be classified as unallowable expenditures and the sheriff has no other means to pay these penalties and interest. In addition, she has not received any invoices or letters from the IRS since March 2020 and the figures reported in the 2018-004, 2019-001, and 2020-001 findings are the most current to date. The penalties date back from December 2011, two quarters for 2013, all four quarters of 2014 and 2015, and the first quarter of 2016 for a total amount due of \$62,935. See the table below for the breakdown of the 12 invoices that the sheriff's office received from the IRS.

Summary of IRS Penalties and Interest

Tax Period Tax Form		Amount Due	Notice Date
December 31, 2011	CVL PEN	\$ 1,506.46	March 16, 2020
September 30, 2013	941	3,236.34	March 16, 2020
December 31, 2013	941	13,369.70	March 16, 2020
March 31, 2014	941	10,062.96	March 16, 2020
June 30, 2014	941	10,469.12	March 16, 2020
September 30, 2014	941	8,719.05	March 16, 2020
December 30, 2014	941	7,228.43	March 16, 2020
March 31, 2015	941	1,563.15	March 16, 2020
June 30, 2015	941	1,670.44	March 16, 2020
September 30, 2015	941	1,335.18	March 16, 2020
December 31, 2015	941	1,977.63	March 16, 2020
March 31, 2016	941	1,796.80	March 16, 2020
Total		\$ 62,935.26	_

The sheriff's office lacked proper internal controls related to paying the taxes due on the 941 tax forms timely from the last calendar quarter in 2011 through the first calendar quarter in 2016. The employee/employer payroll taxes have been paid, but not timely. Since these payroll taxes were not paid timely the IRS assessed penalties and taxes on the rate payment of these payroll taxes. The sheriff's office stated that if these penalties and interest were paid, they would be deemed unallowable expenses from the fee account; therefore, the sheriff has no way to pay them.

By not paying the penalties and interest, they will continue to increase every year causing a larger cash flow burden on Magoffin County taxpayers.

IRS Publication 15, Section 11. Depositing Taxes states:

• Generally, you must deposit federal income tax withheld and both the employer and employee social security and Medicare taxes.

FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS: (Continued)

2021-001 The Sheriff Has Significant Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Penalties and Interest From Past Years That Continue To Accumulate And Need To Be Settled With The IRS (Continued)

- There are two deposit schedules—monthly and semiweekly—for determining when you deposit social
 security, Medicare, and withheld federal income taxes. These schedules tell you when a deposit is due
 after a tax liability arises. Your tax liability is based on the dates payments were made or wages were
 paid.
- Penalties may apply if you don't make required deposits on time or if you make deposits for less than the required amount.
- For amounts not properly or timely deposited, the penalty rates are as follows.

Penality	Charged For
2%	Deposits made 1 to 5 days late
5%	Deposits made 6 to 15 days late.
Deposits made 16 or more days late, but before 10 days from the dat	
10%	the first notice the IRS sent asking for the tax due.
	Amounts that should have been deposited, but instead were paid directly to
10%	the IRS, or paid with your tax return. But see Payment with return, earlier in
	this section, for exceptions.
	Amounts still unpaid more than 10 days after the date of the first notice the
15%	IRS sent asking for the tax due or the day on which you received notice and
	demand for immediate payment, whichever is earlier.

Late deposit penalty amounts are determined using calendar days, starting from the due date of the liability.

IRS Publication 15, Section 12. Filing Form 941 or Form 944 states:

- Penalties. For each whole or part month a return isn't filed when required, there is a failure-to-file (FTF) penalty of 5% of the unpaid tax due with that return. The maximum penalty is generally 25% of the tax due. Also, for each whole or part month the tax is paid late, there is a failure-to-pay (FTP) penalty of 0.5% per month of the amount of tax. For individual filers only, the FTP penalty is reduced from 0.5% per month to 0.25% per month if an installment agreement is in effect. You must have filed your return on or before the due date of the return to qualify for the reduced penalty. The maximum amount of the FTP penalty is also 25% of the tax due. If both penalties apply in any month, the FTF penalty is reduced by the amount of the FTP penalty. The penalties won't be charged if you have a reasonable cause for failing to file or pay. If you receive a penalty notice, you can provide an explanation of why you believe reasonable cause exists.
- Note. In addition to any penalties, interest accrues from the due date of the tax on any unpaid balance.

We recommend the fiscal court, sheriff, and the county attorney meet and work out a plan to settle these amounts with the IRS.

Sheriff's Response: These are late fees the IRS refuses to waive. No actual tax is owed.

Auditor's Reply: As stated, we recommend the fiscal court, sheriff, and the county attorney meet and work out a plan to settle these amounts with the IRS.

FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS: (Continued)

2021-002 The Sheriff Failed To Properly Settle Multiple Prior Accounts And Comingled Funds From Several Accounts

This is a repeat finding and was included in the prior year audit report as finding 2020-002. The Magoffin County Sheriff has not properly settled the 2011, 2012, 2013, and 2014 fee accounts. The sheriff closed the prior year accounts and deposited all the funds into the 2017 fee account. The sheriff did not follow the procedures provided in detailed schedules per the prior audit reports to properly settle these accounts. Per the prior year audit report the amounts due the fiscal court for 2011, 2012 and 2013, and the ending deficit for 2014 are as follows:

- 2011 \$12,174
- 2012 \$66,703
- 2013 \$7,182
- 2014 (\$31,862)

The sheriff's office instead deposited the remaining balances of these accounts into the 2017 fee account. When closing the 2017 account the Magoffin County Sheriff wrote a check of \$64,440 on October 19, 2021, to the fiscal court as excess fees for the prior accounts.

The sheriff did not follow the guidelines to settle prior year accounts. Furthermore, he comingled funds within these accounts instead of following the recommendations of prior audits to properly settle each account. As a result, excess fees were not properly remitted to fiscal court and a deficit remains unresolved. Taxpayers cannot be assured the sheriff's prior fee and tax accounts were properly settled

KRS 134.192(11) states, "[i]n counties containing a population of less than seventy thousand (70,000), the sheriff shall provide to the fiscal court by March 15 of each year a complete statement for the preceding calendar year, which includes: (a) A complete statement of all funds received by his or her office for official services, showing separately the total income received by his or her office for services rendered, exclusive of his or her commissions for collecting taxes, and the total funds received as commissions for collecting state, county, and school taxes; and (b) A complete statement of all expenditures of his or her office, including his or her salary, compensation of deputies and assistants, and reasonable expenses." KRS 134.192(12) states, "[a]t the time he or she files the statements required by subsection (11) of this section, the sheriff shall pay to the governing body of the county any fees, commissions, and other income of his or her office, including income from investments, which exceed the sum of his or her maximum salary as permitted by the Constitution and other reasonable expenses, including compensation of deputies and assistants. The settlement for excess fees and commissions and other income shall be subject to correction by audit conducted pursuant to KRS 43.070 or 64.810. The provisions of this subsection shall not be construed to amend KRS 64.820 or 64.830."

Additionally, KRS 64.820(1) states, "[t]he fiscal court shall collect any amount due the county from county officials as determined by the audit of the official conducted pursuant to KRS 43.070 and 64.810 if the amount can be collected without suit." KRS 64.820(2) states, "[i]n the event the fiscal court cannot collect the amount due the county from the county official without suit, the fiscal court shall then direct the county attorney to institute suit for the collection of the amount reported by the Auditor or certified public accountant to be due the county within ninety (90) days from the date of receiving the Auditor's or certified public accountant's report."

FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS: (Continued)

2021-002 The Sheriff Failed To Properly Settle Multiple Prior Accounts And Comingled Funds From Several Accounts

We recommend the sheriff consult with the county attorney and the fiscal court to come up with a solution to resolve the comingling of prior accounts, properly settle those accounts, and resolve any unpaid deficits.

Sheriff's Response: I have settled these accounts to the best of my ability. The Fiscal Court recognizes the work I have done and have accepted the funds I have turned over to them. Both the Sheriff's Office and the Fiscal Court agree this matter has been settled to their satisfaction.

Auditor's Response: As stated, we recommend the sheriff consult with the county attorney and the fiscal court to come up with a solution to resolve the comingling of prior accounts, properly settle those accounts, and resolve any unpaid deficits.

2021-003 The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

This is a repeat finding and was included in the prior year audit report as finding 2020-003. The sheriff's office lacks adequate segregation of duties and internal controls. The bookkeeper and/or office manager was responsible for collecting money, preparing deposits, writing checks, posting to ledgers, performing and maintaining monthly bank reconciliations, and maintaining bank statements and financial reports.

The sheriff did not implement an adequate internal control system to allow for the proper segregation of duties. When you do not segregate these duties, there is an increased risk of misappropriation of assets either by undetected error or fraud. Internal controls and proper segregation of duties protect employees and the sheriff in the normal course of performing their daily responsibilities and protects the county taxpayer funds.

Good internal controls dictate the same employee should not receive payments, prepare deposits, and post to the receipt ledger. The same employee should not prepare monthly reports, sign checks and post to the disbursement ledger. Also, the same employee should not deposit funds, sign checks, post to ledgers, prepare bank reconciliations, and monthly reports.

We recommend the sheriff's office implement an adequate internal control system and segregate duties. Employees receiving payments and preparing deposits should not be posting to the receipts ledger and preparing bank reconciliations. Employees preparing and signing checks should not be posting to the disbursements ledger and preparing bank reconciliations. The sheriff should take on the responsibility of preparing or reviewing the daily deposits, receipt and disbursement ledgers, monthly reports, and bank reconciliations. These reviews must be documented in a way that indicates what was reviewed, by whom, and when, because signing off on inaccurate information does not adequately provide internal control.

Sheriff's Response: We are a small office with limited employees. We segregate duties as much as we can.

FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS: (Continued)

2021-004 The Sheriff's Fourth Quarter Report Was Materially Misstated

This is a repeat finding and was included in the prior year audit report as finding 2020-004. The sheriff's fourth quarter report was materially misstated and did not sufficiently reflect the financial position of his office. The fourth quarter report had \$54,623 of receipts and \$21,316 of disbursements that were not posted to the report.

The sheriff's fourth quarter report does not include receivables and liabilities that occurred after the end of the calendar year. Therefore, several transactions that were disregarded resulted in material adjustments having to be made. By disregarding receivables and liabilities after the end of the calendar year, the sheriff's fourth quarter report does not sufficiently reflect his office's financial position and creates a situation that could misrepresent the amount of funds due the fiscal court when settling the account.

Proper internal controls require that the sheriff's fourth quarter report appropriately reflect the financial position of his office and the amount of funds that are due to the fiscal court to enable sound financial operations within the government entity.

We recommend the sheriff account for receivables and liabilities that occur after the calendar year ends to sufficiently represent the financial position of his office.

Sheriff's Response: My 4^{th} quarter balanced perfectly and my bank account still balances perfectly. No report is made after 12/31, so my reports can't reflect changes after that date.

Auditor's Response: We recommend the sheriff's office seek training from the Department for Local Government on how to prepare an accurate fourth quarter report per the guidelines set out by the *County Budget Preparation and State Local Finance Officer Policy Manual*. The fourth quarter report requires the sheriff to show any accounts receivable and unpaid obligations as of December 31.