

**REPORT OF THE AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS  
AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES ENGAGEMENT  
OF THE  
LEWIS COUNTY SHERIFF**

**For The Period  
January 1, 2021 Through December 31, 2021**



**MIKE HARMON  
AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS  
[auditor.ky.gov](http://auditor.ky.gov)**

**209 SAINT CLAIR STREET  
FRANKFORT, KY 40601-1817  
TELEPHONE (502) 564-5841  
FACSIMILE (502) 564-2912**





**MIKE HARMON**  
**AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS**

**SUMMARY OF PROCEDURES AND FINDINGS**

**AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES OF THE  
LEWIS COUNTY SHERIFF**

For The Period January 1, 2021 Through December 31, 2021

The Lewis County Sheriff applied for and received approval from the Auditor of Public Accounts (APA) to obtain an Agreed-Upon Procedures (AUP) engagement for calendar year 2021 in accordance with KRS 43.070(1)(c). AUP reports present the procedures performed and the results of those procedures, called findings. The summary below presents those findings for which an exception (an instance of noncompliance with the criteria) was identified during the AUP engagement.

During the AUP engagement of the Lewis County Sheriff, the following exceptions were noted:

- The sheriff's operating disbursements were compared to the sheriff's approved line-item budget and the sheriff overspent his operating budget, on the following lines: Official Salary - \$1,233; Office Materials and Supplies - \$2,620; Miscellaneous - \$1,985; Office Equipment - \$4,959; and Vehicles - \$11,623.
- The sheriff provided a settlement of excess fees to fiscal court timely; however, excess fees were not remitted until March 25, 2022.
- The sheriff reconciled all bank accounts monthly. The bank reconciliations were re-performed, and the fee account bank reconciliation was not accurate.
- Excess fees due to the fiscal court were recalculated and the sheriff overpaid excess fees due to the fiscal court by \$755.

Findings related to procedures for which there were no exceptions identified, and official responses to exceptions are not included in the summary above but can be found in the full report. A copy of this report is available on the Auditor of Public Accounts' website at [auditor.ky.gov](http://auditor.ky.gov).

Respectfully submitted,

Mike Harmon  
Auditor of Public Accounts  
June 17, 2022



THIS PAGE LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY



**MIKE HARMON**  
**AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS**

**Independent Accountant's Report**  
**On Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures**

The Honorable Craig Stanfield, Lewis County Judge/Executive  
The Honorable Johnny Bivens, Lewis County Sheriff  
Members of the Lewis County Fiscal Court

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Lewis County Sheriff, related to the sheriff's compliance with the Department for Local Government's *County Budget Preparation and State Local Finance Officer Policy Manual* regarding the accountability for receipts and disbursements, excess fees, recordkeeping, and leases, contracts, and liabilities for the period January 1, 2021 through December 31, 2021. An agreed-upon procedures engagement involves the APA performing specific procedures that the sheriff has agreed to and acknowledged to be appropriate for the intended purpose of the engagement and reporting on findings based on the procedures performed. The Lewis County Sheriff is responsible for compliance with these requirements. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representations regarding the sufficiency of the procedures enumerated below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose. The Lewis County Sheriff has agreed to and acknowledged that the procedures performed are appropriate to meet the intended purpose of determining the sheriff's compliance with the Department for Local Government's *County Budget Preparation and State Local Finance Officer Policy Manual* regarding the accountability for receipts and disbursements, excess fees, recordkeeping, and leases, contracts, and liabilities. The purpose of the engagement is to assist users in determining whether the sheriff complied with the specified requirements. This report may not be suitable for any other purpose. The procedures performed may not address all the items of interest to a user of this report and may not meet the needs of all users of this report and, as such, users are responsible for determining whether the procedures performed are appropriate for their purposes.

Our procedures and findings are as follows:

1. Procedure -

Determine if the sheriff has a fourth quarter financial statement, a receipts ledger, and a disbursements ledger.

Finding -

No exceptions were found as a result of applying the procedure.

2. Procedure -

Determine that the sheriff's fourth quarter financial statement agrees to the sheriff's receipts ledger and disbursements ledger. Variances in total receipts or total disbursements that exceed 1% of gross receipts or exceed \$20,000 will be reported.

Finding -

No exceptions were found as a result of applying the procedure.



The Honorable Craig Stanfield, Lewis County Judge/Executive  
The Honorable Johnny Bivens, Lewis County Sheriff  
Members of the Lewis County Fiscal Court  
(Continued)

3. Procedure -

Compare the sheriff's operating disbursements (fourth quarter or settlement amounts) to the budget approved by the fiscal court to determine if the sheriff overspent the budget.

Finding -

The sheriff's operating disbursements were compared to the sheriff's approved line-item budget and the sheriff overspent his operating budget, on the following lines: Official Salary - \$1,233; Office Materials and Supplies - \$2,620; Miscellaneous - \$1,985; Office Equipment - \$4,959; and Vehicles - \$11,623.

*Sheriff Response: Our budget is presented to Fiscal Court as a whole and is approved as a whole. But when minutes are prepared, they are typed "approved line item by line item" which is incorrect. This matter has been corrected by Fiscal Court for 2022 budget.*

4. Procedure -

Determine if the sheriff provided a settlement of excess fees to fiscal court by March 15, 2022 and determine if excess fees were remitted at that time.

Finding -

The sheriff provided a settlement of excess fees to fiscal court timely; however, excess fees were not remitted until March 25, 2022.

*Sheriff Response: Bookkeeper was waiting on outstanding invoice from [company name redacted]. When [company name redacted] cancelled the order (see response 11) bookkeeper remitted excess fees to Fiscal Court. Will monitor invoices more closely.*

5. Procedure -

Obtain a list of accounts prepared by the sheriff that are applicable to the current calendar year.

Finding -

No exceptions were found as a result of applying the procedure. The sheriff maintains fee, clearing, escrow, and asset forfeiture accounts.

6. Procedure -

Determine if the sheriff reconciles all bank accounts monthly. Re-perform the bank reconciliations for all accounts as of December 31, 2021, to determine the reconciled ending balances and if bank reconciliations are accurate.

The Honorable Craig Stanfield, Lewis County Judge/Executive  
 The Honorable Johnny Bivens, Lewis County Sheriff  
 Members of the Lewis County Fiscal Court  
 (Continued)

6. Procedure - (Continued)

Finding -

The sheriff reconciled all bank accounts monthly. The bank reconciliations were re-performed, and the fee account bank reconciliation was not accurate.

Account Name:	Reconciled Account Balance:
Fee Account	\$ 0
Clearing Account	\$ 18,942
Escrow Account	\$ 0
Asset Forfeiture Account	\$ 64,246

*Sheriff Response: Will monitor this closer to prevent this from happening again.*

7. Procedure -

Determine if receipts are properly accounted for by comparing batched receipts to daily check-out sheets, deposit tickets, receipts ledger, and bank statements for a randomly selected week.

Finding -

No exceptions were found as a result of applying the procedure.

8. Procedure -

Determine if the sheriff completed an annual asset forfeiture report. Determine if assets forfeited to the sheriff are properly accounted for by tracing the asset to bank deposit, receipts ledger, or asset ledger and determine if amounts due to the commonwealth attorney were remitted.

Finding -

No exceptions were found as a result of applying the procedure.

9. Procedure -

Determine if tax commissions are properly accounted for by computing the sheriff's current calendar year tax commission from prior year taxes and current year taxes using the prior year sheriff tax settlement and current year recap of tax reports. Variances that exceed 1% of gross receipts or exceed \$20,000 will be reported.

Finding -

No exceptions were found as a result of applying the procedure.

The Honorable Craig Stanfield, Lewis County Judge/Executive  
 The Honorable Johnny Bivens, Lewis County Sheriff  
 Members of the Lewis County Fiscal Court  
 (Continued)

#### 10. Procedure -

Judgmentally select 15 operating disbursements from the sheriff's records and agree amounts paid to invoices or other supporting documentation and cancelled check. Determine if the disbursement is for official business. Inspect all credit card statements (if any) to determine if disbursements are for official business. Verify that any state advancement was repaid.

#### Finding -

No exceptions were found as a result of applying the procedure.

#### 11. Procedure -

Determine excess fees due to the fiscal court by recalculating the difference between total receipts and total disbursements. Verify amounts paid to determine if additional excess fees are due to the fiscal court.

#### Finding -

Excess fees due to the fiscal court were recalculated and the sheriff overpaid excess fees due to the fiscal court by \$755.

Total Receipts	\$ 602,897
Total Disbursements	<u>598,897</u>
Excess Fees Due County for 2021	4,000
Payment to Fiscal Court	<u>4,755</u>
Balance Due Fiscal Court	<u><u>\$ (755)</u></u>

*Sheriff Response: Our office had unpaid obligations designated to [company name redacted] for uniform boots. [Company name redacted] advised via mail after settlement was presented that boots would not be delivered and cancelled order; resulting in additional excess fees due to Fiscal Court.*

#### 12. Procedure -

Verify the sheriff's maximum salary order for deputies was not overspent.

#### Finding -

No exceptions were found as a result of applying the procedure.

#### 13. Procedure -

Determine whether payroll charges are properly supported by verifying that timesheets are completed, maintained, approved, and support hours paid by inspecting one pay period's timesheets for all employees. (Not applicable to fee pooling counties)

#### Finding -

No exceptions were found as a result of applying the procedure.



The Honorable Craig Stanfield, Lewis County Judge/Executive  
The Honorable Johnny Bivens, Lewis County Sheriff  
Members of the Lewis County Fiscal Court  
(Continued)

14. Procedure -

Determine that the sheriff was paid the statutory salary as fixed by the Department for Local Government salary schedule.

Finding -

The sheriff was paid \$91,796. The statutorily required salary was \$91,796.

15. Procedure -

Scan lease agreements and service contracts and compare to actual payments. Determine if services received were appropriate, for official business, and properly authorized. Determine if liabilities requiring disclosure were properly disclosed on the fourth quarter financial statement.

Finding -

No exceptions were found as a result of applying the procedure.

16. Procedure -

Verify the sheriff is properly bonded for the period covered by the agreed upon procedures.

Finding -


No exceptions were found as a result of applying the procedure.

We were engaged by the Lewis County Sheriff to perform this agreed-upon procedures engagement and conducted our engagement in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination or review, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on compliance with specified requirements. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

We are required to be independent of the Lewis County Sheriff and to meet our ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements related to our agreed-upon procedures engagement.

The purpose of this report is to present the procedures performed and the results of those procedures and is not intended for any other purpose. This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Lewis County Sheriff and the Lewis County Fiscal Court, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified parties.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Mike Harmon", with a stylized flourish at the end.

Mike Harmon  
Auditor of Public Accounts

June 17, 2022