REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE MUHLENBERG COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT – 2013 UNMINED COAL TAXES

For The Period August 16, 2013 Through July 15, 2014



MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

www.auditor.ky.gov

209 ST. CLAIR STREET FRANKFORT, KY 40601-1817 TELEPHONE 502.564.5841 FACSIMILE 502.564.2912

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

AUDIT OF THE MUHLENBERG COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT – 2013 UNMINED COAL TAXES

For The Period August 16, 2013 Through July 15, 2014

The Auditor of Public Accounts has completed the audit of the Sheriff's Settlement – 2013 Unmined Coal Taxes for the Muhlenberg County Sheriff for the period August 16, 2013 through July 15, 2014. We have issued an unmodified opinion on the financial statement taken as a whole. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement is presented fairly in all material respects.

Financial Condition:

The sheriff collected 2013 unmined coal taxes of \$382,947 for the districts, retaining commissions of \$12,953 to operate the sheriff's office. The sheriff distributed 2013 unmined coal taxes of \$369,994 to the districts.

Report Comment:

2013-001 The Sheriff's Office Has A Lack Of Segregation Of Duties

Deposits:

The sheriff's deposits as of November 6, 2013 were exposed to custodial credit risk as follows:

• Uncollateralized and Uninsured \$1,495,489

The sheriff's deposits were covered by FDIC insurance and a properly executed collateral security agreement, but the bank did not adequately collateralize the sheriff's deposits in accordance with the security agreement.

<u>CONTENTS</u> PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT – 2013 UNMINED COAL TAXES	4
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT	5
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON	
COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	9
COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION	13



MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Matthew G. Bevin, Governor
William M. Landrum III, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable Rick Newman, Muhlenberg County Judge/Executive
Honorable Curtis McGehee, Muhlenberg County Sheriff
Members of the Muhlenberg County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

Report on the Financial Statement

We have audited the Muhlenberg County Sheriff's Settlement - 2013 Unmined Coal Taxes for the period August 16, 2013 through July 15, 2014 - Regulatory Basis, and the related notes to the financial statement.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statement

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement in accordance with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the laws of Kentucky to demonstrate compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting as described in Note 1. Management is also responsible for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of a financial statement that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statement based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the *Audit Guide for Sheriff's Tax Settlements* issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statement, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statement.



To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Matthew G. Bevin, Governor
William M. Landrum III, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable Rick Newman, Muhlenberg County Judge/Executive
Honorable Curtis McGehee, Muhlenberg County Sheriff
Members of the Muhlenberg County Fiscal Court

Auditor's Responsibility (Continued)

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

As described in Note 1 of the financial statement, the financial statement is prepared by the Muhlenberg County Sheriff on the basis of the accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the laws of Kentucky to demonstrate compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The effects on the financial statement of the variances between the regulatory basis of accounting described in Note 1 and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, although not reasonably determinable, are presumed to be material.

Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

In our opinion, because of the significance of the matter discussed in the Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles paragraph, the financial statement referred to above does not present fairly, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of each fund of the Muhlenberg County Sheriff, as of July 15, 2014, or changes in financial position or cash flows thereof for the year then ended.

Opinion on Regulatory Basis of Accounting

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the taxes charged, credited, and paid for the period August 16, 2013 through July 15, 2014 of the Muhlenberg County Sheriff, in accordance with the basis of accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the Commonwealth of Kentucky as described in Note 1.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated June 14, 2016 on our consideration of the Muhlenberg County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Muhlenberg County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Muhlenberg County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Matthew G. Bevin, Governor
William M. Landrum III, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable Rick Newman, Muhlenberg County Judge/Executive
Honorable Curtis McGehee, Muhlenberg County Sheriff
Members of the Muhlenberg County Fiscal Court

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

Based on the results of our audit, we have presented the accompanying comment and recommendation, included herein, which discusses the following report comment:

2013-001 The Sheriff's Office Has A Lack Of Segregation Of Duties

Respectfully submitted,

Mike Harmon

Auditor of Public Accounts

June 14, 2016

MUHLENBERG COUNTY CURTIS MCGEHEE, SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT – 2013 UNMINED COAL TAXES

For The Period August 16, 2013 Through July 15, 2014

				Special				
Charges	Cou	nty Taxes	Taxi	ng Districts	Sch	ool Taxes	Sta	te Taxes
2013 Unmined Coal Penalties	\$	45,320 143	\$	61,404 194	\$	220,822 698	\$	54,206 171
Adjusted to Sheriff's Receipt		2,791		3,782		13,601		3,339
Gross Chargeable to Sheriff		48,254		65,380		235,121		57,716
Credits								
Exonerations		1,837		2,489		8,951		2,197
Discounts		884		1,198		4,307		1,057
Delinquents:								
Unmined Coal - 2013 Taxes		72		97		349		86
Total Credits		2,793		3,784		13,607		3,340
Taxes Collected		45,461		61,596		221,514		54,376
Less: Commissions *		1,932		2,618		6,092		2,311
Taxes Due		43,529		58,978		215,422		52,065
Taxes Paid		43,529		58,978		215,422		52,065
Due Districts								
as of Completion of Audit	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0

* Commissions:

4.25% on \$ 161,433 2.75% on \$ 221,514

MUHLENBERG COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

July 15, 2014

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

The sheriff's office tax collection duties are limited to acting as an agent for assessed property owners and taxing districts. A fund is used to account for the collection and distribution of taxes. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a regulatory basis of accounting, which demonstrates compliance with the laws of Kentucky and is a special purpose framework. Basis of accounting refers to when charges, credits, and taxes paid are reported in the settlement statement. It relates to the timing of measurements regardless of the measurement focus.

Charges are sources of revenue which are recognized in the tax period in which they become available and measurable. Credits are reductions of revenue which are recognized when there is proper authorization. Taxes paid are uses of revenue which are recognized when distributions are made to the taxing districts and others.

C. Cash and Investments

KRS 66.480 authorizes the sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

Note 2. Deposits

The Muhlenberg County Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) as required by KRS 66.480(1)(d). According to KRS 41.240, the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution

MUHLENBERG COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT July 15, 2014 (Continued)

Note 2. Deposits (Continued)

Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a depository institution failure, the sheriff's deposits may not be returned. The Muhlenberg County Sheriff does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk but rather follows the requirements of KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240. As of July 15, 2014, all deposits were covered by FDIC insurance or a properly executed collateral security agreement. However, as of November 6, 2013, public funds were exposed to custodial credit risk because the bank did not adequately collateralize the sheriff's deposits in accordance with the security agreement.

• Uncollateralized and Uninsured \$1,495,489

Note 3. Tax Collection Period

The tangible property tax assessments were levied as of January 1, 2013. Unmined coal taxes were billed to finance governmental services for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014. Liens are effective when the tax bills become delinquent. The collection period for these assessments was February 26, 2014 through July 15, 2014.

Note 4. Interest Income

The Muhlenberg County Sheriff earned \$2 as interest income on 2013 unmined coal taxes. The sheriff distributed the appropriate amount to the school district as required by statute, and the remainder was used to operate the sheriff's office.

Note 5. Sheriff's 10% Add-On Fee

The Muhlenberg County Sheriff collected \$1,207 of 10% add-on fees allowed by KRS 134.119(7). This amount was used to operate the sheriff's office. As of June 14, 2016, the sheriff owed \$554 in 10% add-on fees to his fee account.

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

The Honorable Rick Newman, Muhlenberg County Judge/Executive Honorable Curtis McGehee, Muhlenberg County Sheriff Members of the Muhlenberg County Fiscal Court

> Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the Muhlenberg County Sheriff's Settlement - 2013 Unmined Coal Taxes for the period August 16, 2013 through July 15, 2014 - Regulatory Basis and the related notes to the financial statement and have issued our report thereon dated June 14, 2016. The Muhlenberg County Sheriff's financial statement is prepared on a regulatory basis of accounting, which demonstrates compliance with the laws of Kentucky and is a special purpose framework.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statement, we considered the Muhlenberg County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Muhlenberg County Sheriff's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Muhlenberg County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as described in the accompanying comment and recommendation, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control that we consider to be a material weakness.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying comment and recommendation as item 2013-001 to be a material weakness.



Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards* (Continued)

Compliance And Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Muhlenberg County Sheriff's financial statement is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report


The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

Mike Harmon

Auditor of Public Accounts

June 14, 2016



MUHLENBERG COUNTY CURTIS MCGEHEE, SHERIFF COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

For The Period August 16, 2013 Through July 15, 2014

INTERNAL CONTROL - MATERIAL WEAKNESS:

2013-001 The Sheriff's Office Has A Lack Of Segregation Of Duties

The sheriff's office receipts and disbursements functions are performed by the same employees. The bookkeeper is responsible for the collection of cash and preparation of the daily deposits and daily tax collection journal. She is also responsible for the preparation of monthly reports, preparation of checks, and reconciliation of ledgers and bank accounts.

A lack of segregation of duties exists over the receipts and disbursements functions of the sheriff's office because a limited number of employees are available to properly segregate these job duties. A lack of segregation of duties could result in the undetected misappropriation of assets and inaccurate financial reporting to external agencies such as the Department for Local Government.

Good internal controls dictate segregation of duties over receipts and disbursements or implementation of compensating controls, when needed because the number of staff is limited, is essential for providing protection to employees in the normal course of performing their duties and can also help prevent inaccurate financial reporting and misappropriation of assets.

We recommend that the sheriff segregate the duties over the receipts and disbursements functions in order to adequately protect employees in the normal course of performing their duties and to help prevent misappropriation of assets and inaccurate financial reporting. Proper segregation of duties includes separating the duties of collecting cash, depositing receipts, posting to receipts and disbursements ledgers, bank reconciliations, and preparing reports. If segregation of these duties is not feasible, the sheriff should designate another person to provide knowledgeable oversight of the employee responsible for the incompatible duties. Knowledgeable oversight would necessitate an understanding of and ability to perform those same duties if the bookkeeper were absent.

Sheriff's Response: No Response.