## REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE FORMER METCALFE COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2017 TAXES

For The Period April 18, 2017 Through April 16, 2018



## MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

www.auditor.ky.gov

209 ST. CLAIR STREET FRANKFORT, KY 40601-1817 TELEPHONE 502.564.5841 FACSIMILE 502.564.2912

<u>CONTENTS</u> PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2017 TAXES	4
Notes To Financial Statement	6
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND	
On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	11
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES	15





# MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
The Honorable Matthew G. Bevin, Governor
William M. Landrum III, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
The Honorable Harold Stilts, Metcalfe County Judge/Executive
The Honorable Rondal Shirley, Former Metcalfe County Sheriff
The Honorable Ricky Brooks, Metcalfe County Sheriff
Members of the Metcalfe County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

#### **Report on the Financial Statement**

We have audited the former Metcalfe County Sheriff's Settlement - 2017 Taxes for the period April 18, 2017 through April 16, 2018 - Regulatory Basis, and the related notes to the financial statement.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statement

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement in accordance with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the laws of Kentucky to demonstrate compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting as described in Note 1. Management is also responsible for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of a financial statement that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### **Auditor's Responsibility**

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statement based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the *Audit Guide for Sheriff's Tax Settlements*, issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statement, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statement.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.



To the People of Kentucky
The Honorable Matthew G. Bevin, Governor
William M. Landrum III, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
The Honorable Harold Stilts, Metcalfe County Judge/Executive
The Honorable Rondal Shirley, Former Metcalfe County Sheriff
The Honorable Ricky Brooks, Metcalfe County Sheriff
Members of the Metcalfe County Fiscal Court

#### Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

As described in Note 1 of the financial statement, the financial statement is prepared by the former Metcalfe County Sheriff on the basis of accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the laws of Kentucky to demonstrate compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The effects on the financial statement of the variances between the regulatory basis of accounting described in Note 1 and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, although not reasonably determinable, are presumed to be material.

#### Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

In our opinion, because of the significance of the matter discussed in the Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles paragraph, the financial statement referred to above does not present fairly, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the taxes charged, credited, and paid of the former Metcalfe County Sheriff, for the period April 18, 2017 through April 16, 2018.

#### **Opinion on Regulatory Basis of Accounting**

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the taxes charged, credited, and paid for the period April 18, 2017 through April 16, 2018 of the former Metcalfe County Sheriff, in accordance with the basis of accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the Commonwealth of Kentucky as described in Note 1.

#### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated March 26, 2019, on our consideration of the former Metcalfe County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the former Metcalfe County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

To the People of Kentucky
The Honorable Matthew G. Bevin, Governor
William M. Landrum III, Secretary

Finance and Administration Cabinet

The Honorable Harold Stilts, Metcalfe County Judge/Executive

The Honorable Rondal Shirley, Former Metcalfe County Sheriff

The Honorable Ricky Brooks, Metcalfe County Sheriff

Members of the Metcalfe County Fiscal Court

#### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

Based on the results of our audit, we have presented the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses, included herein, which discusses the following report comment:

2017-001 The Former Metcalfe County Sheriff's Office Lacked Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Respectfully submitted,

Mike Harmon

Auditor of Public Accounts

March 26, 2019

#### METCALFE COUNTY RONDAL SHIRLEY, FORMER SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2017 TAXES

For The Period April 18, 2017 Through April 16, 2018

Special

Charges	Cou	ınty Taxes	Tax	king Districts	Sc	hool Taxes	Sta	ate Taxes
Real Estate	\$	246,728	\$	932,095	\$	1,463,918	\$	334,453
Tangible Personal Property		19,802		82,580		105,744		173,026
Fire Protection		1,469						
Increases Through Exonerations		39		130		231		71
Franchise Taxes		71,121		244,007		386,845		
Additional Billings		75		247		446		102
Oil Property Taxes		378		1,241		2,245		513
Penalties		1,384		5,551		8,153		1,883
Adjusted to Sheriff's Receipt		(53)		(118)		(205)		(109)
Gross Chargeable to Sheriff		340,943		1,265,733		1,967,377		509,939
<u>Credits</u>								
Exonerations		1,352		8,386		8,019		1,832
Discounts		4,645		17,218		27,052		8,657
Delinquents:								
Real Estate		7,219		32,408		42,559		9,723
Tangible Personal Property		76		264		408		239
Oil Property Taxes		27		87		157		36
Total Credits		13,319		58,363		78,195		20,487
Taxes Collected		327,624		1,207,370		1,889,182		489,452

13,924

313,700

312,803

872

50,495

1,156,875

1,153,986

2,813

75,567

1,813,615

1,808,301

5,169

20,802

468,650

467,460

1,190

\* and \*\* See next page.

Refunds (Current and Prior Year)

as of Completion of Audit

Less: Commissions \*

Taxes Due

Taxes Paid

Due Districts

METCALFE COUNTY RONDAL SHIRLEY, FORMER SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2017 TAXES For The Period April 18, 2017 Through April 16, 2018 (Continued)

#### \* Commissions:

4.25% on \$ 1,697,349 4% on \$ 2,216,279

#### \*\* Special Taxing Districts:

Library District	\$ 31
Ambulance District	16
Extension District	 29
Due Districts	\$ 76

## METCALFE COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

April 16, 2018

#### Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### A. Fund Accounting

The sheriff's office tax collection duties are limited to acting as an agent for assessed property owners and taxing districts. A fund is used to account for the collection and distribution of taxes. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

#### B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a regulatory basis of accounting, which demonstrates compliance with the laws of Kentucky and is a special purpose framework. Basis of accounting refers to when charges, credits, and taxes paid are reported in the settlement statement. It relates to the timing of measurements regardless of the measurement focus.

Charges are sources of revenue which are recognized in the tax period in which they become available and measurable. Credits are reductions of revenue which are recognized when there is proper authorization. Taxes paid are uses of revenue which are recognized when distributions are made to the taxing districts and others.

#### C. Cash and Investments

KRS 66.480 authorizes the sheriff's office to invest in obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

#### Note 2. Deposits

The former Metcalfe County Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the FDIC as required by KRS 66.480(1)(d). According to KRS 41.240, the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution.

#### Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a depository institution failure, the former sheriff's deposits may not be returned. The former sheriff does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk but rather follows the requirements of KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240. As of April 16, 2018, all deposits were covered by FDIC insurance or a properly executed collateral security agreement. However, as of November 30, 2017, public funds were exposed to custodial credit risk because the bank did not adequately collateralize the former sheriff's deposits in accordance with the security agreement.

Uncollateralized and Uninsured

METCALFE COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT April 16, 2018 (Continued)

#### Note 3. Tax Collection Period

#### A. Property Taxes

The real and personal property tax assessments were levied as of January 1, 2017. Property taxes were billed to finance governmental services for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017. Liens are effective when the tax bills become delinquent. The collection period for these assessments was October 13, 2017 through April 16, 2018.

#### B. Oil Property Taxes

The oil property tax assessments were levied as of January 1, 2017. Property taxes are billed to finance governmental services. Liens are effective when the tax bills become delinquent. The collection period for these assessments was November 13, 2017 through May 15, 2018.

#### C. Franchise Taxes

The franchise tax assessments were levied by the Department of Revenue for various tax years. Property taxes are billed to finance governmental services. Liens are effective when the tax bills become delinquent. The collection period for these assessments was April 18, 2017 through April 16, 2018.

#### Note 4. Interest Income

The former Metcalfe County Sheriff earned \$108 as interest income on 2017 taxes. The former sheriff was in substantial compliance with his statutory responsibilities.

#### Note 5. Sheriff's 10% Add-On Fee

The former Metcalfe County Sheriff collected \$13,940 of 10% add-on fees allowed by KRS 134.119(7). This amount was used to operate the former sheriff's office.

#### Note 6. Unrefundable Duplicate Payments And Unexplained Receipts

The former sheriff deposited unrefundable duplicate payments and unexplained receipts in an interest-bearing account. The former sheriff's escrowed amounts were as follows:

2013	\$168
2015	\$98

KRS 393.090 states that if the funds have not been claimed after three years, they are presumed abandoned. Abandoned funds are required to be sent to the Kentucky State Treasurer pursuant to KRS 393.110 and its accompanying regulations.



REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS





# MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

The Honorable Harold Stilts, Metcalfe County Judge/Executive The Honorable Rondal Shirley, Former Metcalfe County Sheriff The Honorable Ricky Brooks, Metcalfe County Sheriff Members of the Metcalfe County Fiscal Court

> Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

#### Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the former Metcalfe County Sheriff's Settlement - 2017 Taxes for the period April 18, 2017 through April 16, 2018 - Regulatory Basis and the related notes to the financial statement and have issued our report thereon dated March 26, 2019. The former Metcalfe County Sheriff's financial statement is prepared on a regulatory basis of accounting, which demonstrates compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting and budget laws, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statement, we considered the former Metcalfe County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the former Metcalfe County Sheriff's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the former Metcalfe County Sheriff's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control that we consider to be a material weakness.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses as item 2017-001 to be a material weakness.



Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards* (Continued)

#### **Compliance And Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the former Metcalfe County Sheriff's financial statement is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### Views of Responsible Official and Planned Corrective Action

The former Metcalfe County Sheriff's views and planned corrective action for the finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses. The former Metcalfe County Sheriff's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statement and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Respectfully submitted.

Mike Harmon

Auditor of Public Accounts

March 26, 2019





#### METCALFE COUNTY RONDAL SHIRLEY, FORMER SHERIFF SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES

For The Period April 18, 2017 Through April 16, 2018

#### INTERNAL CONTROL - MATERIAL WEAKNESS:

2017-001 The Former Metcalfe County Sheriff's Office Lacked Adequate Segregation Of Duties

This is a repeat finding and was included in the prior year audit report as finding 2016-001. The former Metcalfe County Sheriff's office lacked adequate segregation of duties over all accounting functions. The former sheriff's bookkeeper opened incoming mail, collected tax payments, prepared deposits, prepared daily tax collection journals, prepared bank reconciliations, prepared and signed checks, and prepared monthly tax reports. According to the former sheriff, a lack of segregation of duties existed because a limited number of employees were available to properly segregate job duties.

A lack of segregation of duties increases the risk of misappropriation of assets, errors, and inaccurate financial reporting. Segregation of duties over receipts, disbursements, and the reconciliation process is essential for providing protection to employees in the normal course of performing their duties and can also prevent inaccurate financial reporting and misappropriation of assets.

The former sheriff could have separated the duties of collecting taxes, preparing daily deposits, preparing and mailing disbursements, and preparing financial reports. If these duties could not have been segregated due to limited staff or limited budget, then strong oversight could have been provided over the employee responsible for these duties. The former sheriff could have implemented compensating controls such as periodically performing surprise cash counts, reviewing the bank reconciliations, and comparing the daily deposits to the daily collection report and the receipts ledger, reconciling any differences. In addition, the former sheriff could have compared the monthly reports to the receipts and disbursements ledgers for accuracy. Compensating controls should have been documented by initialing and dating the bank reconciliations, bank deposits, daily collection reports, receipts and disbursements ledgers, and monthly tax reports. We recommend the sheriff's office segregate duties to the extent possible.

Former Sheriff's Response: For the size of our staff, we are taking as many measures as possible.