# REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE MERCER COUNTY SHERIFF

For The Year Ended December 31, 2017



### MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

www.auditor.ky.gov

209 ST. CLAIR STREET FRANKFORT, KY 40601-1817 TELEPHONE (502) 564-5841 FACSIMILE (502) 564-2912

<u>CONTENTS</u> PAGE

Independent Auditor's report	.1
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS	.3
Notes to financial statement	.5
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND	
ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	. 13
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES	.17





## MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

The Honorable Milward Dedman, Mercer County Judge/Executive The Honorable Ernie Kelty, Mercer County Sheriff Members of the Mercer County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

#### **Report on the Financial Statement**

We have audited the accompanying Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Excess Fees - Regulatory Basis of the Sheriff of Mercer County, Kentucky, for the year ended December 31, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statement.

#### **Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statement**

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of this financial statement in accordance with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the laws of Kentucky to demonstrate compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting and budget laws. Management is also responsible for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of a financial statement that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### **Auditor's Responsibility**

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statement based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the *Audit Guide for County Fee Officials* issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statement, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statement.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.



WWW.AUDITOR.KY.GOV

The Honorable Milward Dedman, Mercer County Judge/Executive The Honorable Ernie Kelty, Mercer County Sheriff Members of the Mercer County Fiscal Court

#### Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

As described in Note 1 of the financial statement, the financial statement is prepared by the Mercer County Sheriff on the basis of the accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the laws of Kentucky to demonstrate compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The effects on the financial statement of the variances between the regulatory basis of accounting described in Note 1 and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, although not reasonably determinable, are presumed to be material.

#### Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

In our opinion, because of the significance of the matter discussed in the Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles paragraph, the financial statement referred to above does not present fairly, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of each fund of the Mercer County Sheriff, as of December 31, 2017, or changes in financial position or cash flows thereof for the year then ended.

#### **Opinion on Regulatory Basis of Accounting**

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the Mercer County Sheriff for the year ended December 31, 2017, in accordance with the basis of accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the Commonwealth of Kentucky as described in Note 1.

#### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 14, 2018, on our consideration of the Mercer County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, grant agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Mercer County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Based on the results of our audit, we have presented the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses, included herein, which discusses the following report comment:

2017-001 The Mercer County Sheriff Did Not Comply With Competitive Procurement Requirements

Respectfully submitted,

Mike Harmon

Auditor of Public Accounts

December 14, 2018

#### MERCER COUNTY ERNIE KELTY, SHERIFF STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS

#### For The Year Ended December 31, 2017

Recei	nts
110001	Pus

State Grants			\$	44,928
State - Kentucky Law Enforcement Foundation Program Fund (KLEFPF)				42,800
State Fees For Services: Finance and Administration Cabinet Sheriff Security Service	\$	84,074 9,154		93,228
Circuit Court Clerk:				
Fines and Fees Collected				2,336
Fiscal Court				88,347
County Clerk - Delinquent Taxes				25,807
Commission On Taxes Collected				460,901
Fees Collected For Services: Auto Inspections Accident and Police Reports Serving Papers Carry Concealed Deadly Weapon Permits		6,767 2,694 33,215 9,260		51,936
Other: Add-On Fees Telecommunications Tax Fees for Fiscal Court Conveying Convicts		40,382 1,644 7,895 2,567		
Miscellaneous		9,836		62,324
Interest Earned				497
Borrowed Money:				
State Advancement				235,000
Total Receipts			1	,108,104

### MERCER COUNTY

ERNIE KELTY, SHERIFF

STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS

For The Year Ended December 31, 2017

(Continued)

#### **Disbursements**

Operating Disbursements and Capital Outlay:				
Personnel Services-	¢ (04.401			
Deputies' Salaries KLEFPF Retirement	\$ 604,421			
	7,229			
Contracted Services-	107			
Advertising	105			
Communication Expense	7,926			
Payroll and Tax Processing	2,974			
Vehicle Maintenance and Repairs	18,868			
Materials and Supplies-				
Office Materials and Supplies	5,874			
Uniforms	1,346			
Auto Expense-				
Gasoline	42,493			
Other Charges-				
Conveying Convicts	1,364			
Education Expense	1,917			
Officers' Supplies	53,644			
Postage	4,619			
Fiscal Court Fees	7,482			
Dues	992			
Miscellaneous	2,544	\$ 763,798		
Debt Service:				
State Advancement		 235,000		
Total Disbursements			\$	998,798
Total Disoursements			Ψ	770,770
Net Receipts				109,306
Less: Statutory Maximum				91,163
Less. Statutory Maximum				71,103
Excess Fees				18,143
Less: Training Incentive Benefit				4,052
Less. Huming incentive Benefit			-	1,032
Excess Fees Due County for 2017				14,091
Payment to Fiscal Court - May 10, 2018				8,000
2 ay ment to 1 ment court 11mg 10, 2010				0,000
Balance Due Fiscal Court at Completion of Audit			\$	6,091

### MERCER COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

December 31, 2017

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### A. Fund Accounting

A fee official uses a fund to report on the results of operations. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

A fee official uses a fund for fees to account for activities for which the government desires periodic determination of the excess of receipts over disbursements to facilitate management control, accountability, and compliance with laws.

#### B. Basis of Accounting

KRS 64.820 directs the fiscal court to collect any amount, including excess fees, due from the sheriff as determined by the audit. KRS 134.192 requires the sheriff to settle excess fees with the fiscal court at the time he files his annual settlement with the fiscal court on or before September 1 of each year. KRS 64.830 requires an outgoing sheriff to settle excess fees with the fiscal court of his county by March 15 immediately following the expiration of his term of office.

The financial statement has been prepared on a regulatory basis of accounting, which demonstrates compliance with the laws of Kentucky and is a special purpose framework. Under this regulatory basis of accounting, receipts and disbursements are generally recognized when cash is received or disbursed, with the exception of accrual of the following items (not all-inclusive) at December 31 that may be included in the excess fees calculation:

- Interest receivable
- Collection on accounts due from others for 2017 services
- Reimbursements for 2017 activities
- Tax commissions due from December tax collections
- Payments due other governmental entities for payroll
- Payments due vendors for goods or services provided in 2017

The measurement focus of a fee official is upon excess fees. Remittance of excess fees is due to the county treasurer in the subsequent year.

#### C. Cash and Investments

KRS 66.480 authorizes the sheriff's office to invest in obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

#### Note 2. Employee Retirement System and Other Post-Employment Benefits

The county official and employees have elected to participate, pursuant to KRS 78.530, in the County Employees Retirement System (CERS), which is administered by the Board of Trustees of the Kentucky Retirement Systems (KRS). This is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer, defined benefit pension plan, which covers all eligible full-time employees and provides for retirement, disability, and death benefits to plan members. Benefit contributions and provisions are established by statute.

Note 2. Employee Retirement System and Other Post-Employment Benefits (Continued)

The sheriff's contribution for KLEFPF retirement for calendar year 2015 was \$4,844, calendar year 2016 was \$5,742, and calendar year 2017 was \$7,229.

#### Nonhazardous

Nonhazardous covered employees are required to contribute five percent of their salary to the plan. Nonhazardous covered employees who begin participation on or after September 1, 2008, are required to contribute six percent of their salary to be allocated as follows: five percent will go to the member's account and one percent will go to the KRS insurance fund.

In accordance with Senate Bill 2, signed by the Governor on April 4, 2013, plan members who began participating on, or after, January 1, 2014, were required to contribute to the Cash Balance Plan. The Cash Balance Plan is known as a hybrid plan because it has characteristics of both a defined benefit plan and a defined contribution plan. Members in the plan contribute a set percentage of their salary each month to their own accounts. Nonhazardous members contribute five percent of their annual creditable compensation and one percent to the health insurance fund which is not credited to the member's account and is not refundable. The employer contribution rate is set annually by the Board based on an actuarial valuation. The employer contributes a set percentage of the member's salary. Each month, when employer contributions are received, an employer pay credit is deposited to the member's account. A member's account is credited with a four percent employer pay credit. The employer pay credit represents a portion of the employer contribution.

Benefits fully vest on reaching five years of service for nonhazardous employees. Aspects of benefits for nonhazardous employees include retirement after 27 years of service or age 65. Nonhazardous employees who begin participation on or after September 1, 2008, must meet the rule of 87 (member's age plus years of service credit must equal 87, and the member must be a minimum of 57 years of age) or the member is age 65, with a minimum of 60 months service credit.

The county's contribution rate for nonhazardous employees was 18.68 percent for the first six months and 19.18 percent for the last six months.

#### **Hazardous**

Hazardous covered employees are required to contribute eight percent of their salary to the plan. Hazardous covered employees who begin participation on or after September 1, 2008, are required to contribute nine percent of their salary to be allocated as follows: eight percent will go to the member's account and one percent will go to the KRS insurance fund.

In accordance with Senate Bill 2, signed by the Governor on April 4, 2013, plan members who began participating on, or after, January 1, 2014, were required to contribute to the Cash Balance Plan. The Cash Balance Plan is known as a hybrid plan because it has characteristics of both a defined benefit plan and a defined contribution plan. Members in the plan contribute a set percentage of their salary each month to their own accounts. Hazardous members contribute eight percent of their annual creditable compensation and one percent to the health insurance fund which is not credited to the member's account and is not refundable. The employer contribution rate is set annually by the Board based on an actuarial valuation. The employer contributes a set percentage of the member's salary. Each month, when employer contributions are received, an employer pay credit is deposited to the member's account. A hazardous member's account is credited with a seven and one-half percent employer pay credit. The employer pay credit represents a portion of the employer contribution.

Note 2. Employee Retirement System and Other Post-Employment Benefits (Continued)

#### Hazardous (Continued)

Aspects of benefits for hazardous employees include retirement after 20 years of service or age 55. For hazardous employees who begin participation on or after September 1, 2008, aspects of benefits include retirement after 25 years of service or the member is age 60, with a minimum of 60 months of service credit.

The county's contribution rate for hazardous employees was 31.06 percent for the first six months and 31.55 percent for the last six months.

#### **Health Insurance Coverage**

CERS also provides post-retirement health care coverage as follows:

For members participating prior to July 1, 2003, years of service and respective percentages of the maximum contribution are as follows:

Years of Service	% Paid by Insurance Fund	% Paid by Member through Payroll Deduction
20 or more	100%	0%
15-19	75%	25%
10-14	50%	50%
4-9	25%	75%
Less than 4	0%	100%

As a result of House Bill 290 (2004 General Assembly), medical insurance benefits are calculated differently for members who began participation on or after July 1, 2003. Once members reach a minimum vesting period of ten years, non-hazardous employees whose participation began on or after July 1, 2003, earn ten dollars per month for insurance benefits at retirement for every year of earned service without regard to a maximum dollar amount. This dollar amount is subject to adjustment annually based on the retiree cost of living adjustment, which is updated annually due to changes in the Consumer Price Index.

Hazardous employees whose participation began on or after July 1, 2003, earn 15 dollars per month for insurance benefits at retirement for every year of earned service without regard to a maximum dollar amount. Upon the death of a hazardous employee, the employee's spouse receives ten dollars per month for insurance benefits for each year of the deceased employee's hazardous service. This dollar amount is subject to adjustment annually based on the retiree cost of living adjustment, which is updated annually due to changes in the Consumer Price Index.

KRS issues a publicly available annual financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information on CERS. This report may be obtained by writing the Kentucky Retirement Systems, 1260 Louisville Road, Frankfort, KY 40601-6124, or by telephone at (502) 564-4646.

#### Note 3. Deposits

The Mercer County Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) as required by KRS 66.480(1)(d). According to KRS 41.240, the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution.

#### Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a depository institution failure, the sheriff's deposits may not be returned. The Mercer County Sheriff does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk but rather follows the requirements of KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240. As of December 31, 2017, all deposits were covered by FDIC insurance or a properly executed collateral security agreement.

#### Note 4. State Grants

#### A. Kentucky Office of Homeland Security Law Enforcement Protection Grant Program

The Mercer County Sheriff's office received a Law Enforcement Protection Program (LEPP) grant from the Kentucky Office of Homeland Security in the amount of \$23,791. Funds totaling \$23,791 were expended during the year. The unexpended grant balance was \$0 as of December 31, 2017.

#### B. Kentucky Office of Homeland Security Law Enforcement Protection Grant Program

The Mercer County Sheriff's office received a Law Enforcement Protection Program (LEPP) grant from the Kentucky Office of Homeland Security in the amount of \$21,137. Funds totaling \$21,137 were expended during the year. The unexpended grant balance was \$0 as of December 31, 2017.

#### Note 5. Lease Agreements

The Mercer County Sheriff's office was committed to the following lease agreements as of December 31, 2017:

					P	rincipal
					I	Balance
Item	Mo	nthly	Term of	Ending	Dec	ember 31,
Purchased	Pay	ment	Agreement	Date		2017
Copier	\$	158	60 months	May 2022	\$	8,236
Postage Meter		40	48 months	December 2020		1,313
				•		
	\$	198			\$	9,549
				•		

#### Note 6. Other Accounts

#### A. Asset Forfeiture Account

The Mercer County Sheriff's office maintains an asset forfeiture account. These funds are used in law enforcement activities and not to supplant the sheriff's normal operating budget; therefore, they are not considered in determining excess fees. As of January 1, 2017, the sheriff had a balance of \$6,943. During the year, the sheriff received no funds and disbursed \$5,748, leaving a balance of \$1,195 as of December 31, 2017.

#### B. Undercover Drug Account

The Mercer County Sheriff's office maintains an undercover drug account. These funds are used in law enforcement activities and not to supplant the sheriff's normal operating budget; therefore, they are not considered in determining excess fees. As of January 1, 2017, the sheriff had a balance of \$6,605. During the year, the sheriff received \$345 and disbursed \$3,955, leaving a balance of \$2,995 as of December 31, 2017.

#### C. K-9 Account

The Mercer County Sheriff's office maintains a K-9 account for expenses related to their drug dog. As of January 1, 2017, the sheriff had a balance of \$3,095. During the year, the sheriff received \$1,792 and disbursed \$3,248, leaving a balance of \$1,639 as of December 31, 2017.

#### D. Donation Account

The Mercer County Sheriff's office maintains a donation account. These funds are used in law enforcement activities and not to supplant the sheriff's normal operating budget; therefore, they are not considered in determining excess fees. As of January 1, 2017, the sheriff had a balance of \$679. During the year, the sheriff received no funds and disbursed \$105, leaving a balance of \$574 as of December 31, 2017.

#### E. Payroll Revolving Account

The Mercer County Sheriff's office maintains a payroll revolving account. As of January 1, 2017, the sheriff had a balance of \$134. During the year, the sheriff received \$756,749 and disbursed \$753,642, leaving a balance of \$3,241 as of December 31, 2017.

#### Note 7. Contingencies

The sheriff's office is involved in a lawsuit that arose from the normal course of doing business. Due to the uncertainty of the litigation, a reasonable estimate of the financial impact on the county cannot be made at this time.



REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS





# MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

The Honorable Milward Dedman, Mercer County Judge/Executive The Honorable Ernie Kelty, Mercer County Sheriff Members of the Mercer County Fiscal Court

> Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

#### Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Excess Fees - Regulatory Basis of the Mercer County Sheriff for the year ended December 31, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statement and have issued our report thereon dated December 14, 2018. The Mercer County Sheriff's financial statement is prepared on a regulatory basis of accounting, which demonstrates compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting and budget laws, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### **Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statement, we considered the Mercer County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Mercer County Sheriff's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Mercer County Sheriff's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified.



WWW.AUDITOR.KY.GOV

Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards* (Continued)

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Mercer County Sheriff's financial statement is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed an instance of noncompliance or other matter that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*, and which is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses as item 2017-001.

#### **Views of Responsible Official and Planned Corrective Action**

The Mercer County Sheriff's views and planned corrective action for the finding identified in our audit are included in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses. The Mercer County Sheriff's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statement and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

Mike Harmon

**Auditor of Public Accounts** 

December 14, 2018





# MERCER COUNTY ERNIE KELTY, SHERIFF SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES

For The Year Ended December 31, 2017

#### STATE LAWS AND REGULATIONS:

#### 2017-001 The Mercer County Sheriff Did Not Comply With Competitive Procurement Requirements

During 2017, the Mercer County Sheriff purchased law enforcement equipment that was primarily funded using two grants from the Law Enforcement Protection Program. The sheriff procured items that were allowable under the scope of the two grant agreements; however, there was no evidence of competitive procurement by advertisements for bid or by use of a state pricing contract. The two expenditures were as follows:

- Guns, ammunition, and tactical gear \$25,486
- Tasers and cartridges \$24,190

Both of the purchases were subject to competitive procurement requirements.

The sheriff's staff was unaware of the requirement for competitive procurement for the grant purchases. This resulted in noncompliance with statutory and grant agreement requirements. It could have prevented the sheriff from obtaining the best price for the procured goods.

KRS 424.260 requires that when procuring goods at a cost exceeding \$20,000, the sheriff should make a newspaper advertisement for bids. If the sheriff uses a state price contract, the sheriff should keep a copy of that price contract as documentation of the state's competitively determined rate.

The grant agreements between the sheriff and the grantor state that the sheriff "shall use its own procurement procedures that reflect applicable state and local laws for all purchases of body armor, duty weapons, and ammunition, and/or electro-muscular disruption technology in accordance with this agreement."

We recommend the sheriff ensure compliance with bid laws governing competitive procurement, or maintain documentation if purchasing goods using state contract pricing.

Sheriff's Response: In reference to the purchase of body armour, weapons and tasers, the tasers were purchased from the sole provider of these items for the State of Kentucky. On the purchase of body armour and weapons, they were purchased from two separate grants for each item. Both items were under the \$20,000 limit, but were bought on the same purchase order which made it over \$20,000. We were with the understanding that we were within the bid requirements, however, we have made adjustments to insure that we will put out public bids anytime we are dealing with these type expenditures.