# REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE MERCER COUNTY FISCAL COURT

For The Year Ended June 30, 2017



#### MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

www.auditor.ky.gov

209 ST. CLAIR STREET FRANKFORT, KY 40601-1817 TELEPHONE (502) 564-5841 FACSIMILE (502) 564-2912

<u>CONTENTS</u> PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
MERCER COUNTY OFFICIALS	4
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES - REGULATORY BASIS	6
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT	9
BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULES	21
NOTES TO REGULATORY SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION - BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULES	28
SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS	31
Notes To Regulatory Supplementary Information - Schedule Of Capital Assets	32
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	35
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES	39
APPENDIX A:	

CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE - LOCAL GOVERNMENT ECONOMIC ASSISTANCE PROGRAM





### MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
The Honorable Matthew G. Bevin, Governor
William M. Landrum III, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
The Honorable Milward Dedman, Mercer County Judge/Executive
Members of the Mercer County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

#### **Report on the Financial Statement**

We have audited the accompanying Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Fund Balances - Regulatory Basis of the Mercer County Fiscal Court, for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statement which collectively comprise the Mercer County Fiscal Court's financial statement as listed in the table of contents.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statement

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of this financial statement in accordance with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the Department for Local Government to demonstrate compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting and budget laws. This includes determining that the regulatory basis of accounting is an acceptable basis for the preparation of the financial statement in the circumstances. Management is also responsible for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of a financial statement that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### **Auditor's Responsibility**

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and the *Audit Guide for Fiscal Court Audits* issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statement, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statement.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.



To the People of Kentucky
The Honorable Matthew G. Bevin, Governor
William M. Landrum III, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
The Honorable Milward Dedman, Mercer County Judge/Executive
Members of the Mercer County Fiscal Court

#### Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

As described in Note 1 of the financial statement, the financial statement is prepared by the Mercer County Fiscal Court on the basis of the accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the Department for Local Government to demonstrate compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting and budget laws, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The effects on the financial statement of the variances between the regulatory basis of accounting described in Note 1 and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, although not reasonably determinable, are presumed to be material.

#### Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

In our opinion, because of the significance of the matter discussed in the Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles paragraph, the financial statement referred to above does not present fairly, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of the Mercer County Fiscal Court as of June 30, 2017, or changes in financial position or cash flows thereof for the year then ended.

#### **Opinion on Regulatory Basis of Accounting**

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the fund balances of the Mercer County Fiscal Court as of June 30, 2017, and their respective cash receipts and disbursements, and budgetary results for the year then ended, in accordance with the basis of accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the Department for Local Government described in Note 1.

#### **Other Matters**

#### Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statement taken as a whole of the Mercer County Fiscal Court. The Budgetary Comparison Schedules and Capital Asset Schedule are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the financial statement; however, they are required to be presented in accordance with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the Department for Local Government to demonstrate compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting and budget laws.

The accompanying Budgetary Comparison Schedules and Capital Asset Schedule are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statement. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statement and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statement or to the financial statement itself, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Budgetary Comparison Schedules and Capital Asset Schedule are fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statement as a whole.

To the People of Kentucky
The Honorable Matthew G. Bevin, Governor
William M. Landrum III, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
The Honorable Milward Dedman, Mercer County Judge/Executive
Members of the Mercer County Fiscal Court

#### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated February 27, 2018, on our consideration of the Mercer County Fiscal Court's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Mercer County Fiscal Court's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Based on the results of our audit, we present the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses included herein, which discusses the following report findings:

2017-001	The Mercer County Fiscal Court Did Not Have An Effective Purchase Order System
2017-002	The Mercer County Fiscal Court Did Not Comply With Bidding Requirements
2017-003	The Mercer County Fiscal Court Did Not Have Adequate Controls In Place Over Payroll

Respectfully submitted,

Mike Harmon

**Auditor of Public Accounts** 

February 27, 2018

#### MERCER COUNTY OFFICIALS

#### For The Year Ended June 30, 2017

#### **Fiscal Court Members:**

Milward Dedman County Judge/Executive

Ronnie Simms Magistrate

Donnie Webb Magistrate

Wayne T. Jackson Magistrate

Dennis Holiday Magistrate

Tim Darland Magistrate

Jackie Claycomb Magistrate

#### **Other Elected Officials:**

Ted Dean County Attorney

Bret Chamberlain Jailer

Chris Horn County Clerk

Allison Buchanan Circuit Court Clerk

Ernie Kelty Sheriff

David Best Property Valuation Administrator

Sonny Ransdell Coroner

#### **Appointed Personnel:**

Sandra Sanders County Treasurer
Billy Humphrey Road Supervisor

#### MERCER COUNTY STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES - REGULATORY BASIS

For The Year Ended June 30, 2017

#### MERCER COUNTY STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES - REGULATORY BASIS

#### For The Year Ended June 30, 2017

Bud	geted	Funds

		General Fund		Road Fund	 Jail Fund
RECEIPTS					
Taxes	\$	1,867,214	\$		\$
Excess Fees		117,685			
Licenses and Permits		6,345			
Intergovernmental		588,572		1,506,324	114,093
Charges for Services		93,685			
Miscellaneous		105,391		1,642	
Interest		7,685		5,612	2,814
Total Receipts		2,786,577		1,513,578	 116,907
DISBURSEMENTS					
General Government		898,582		14,528	
Protection to Persons and Property		404,097		170	903,788
General Health and Sanitation		121,950		3,888	
Social Services		50,171			
Recreation and Culture		161,521			
Transportation Facility and Services				358	
Roads				1,391,541	
Other Transportation Facilities and Services				650	
Debt Service		19,082			
Capital Projects				255	
Administration		997,851		222,147	 
Total Disbursements		2,653,254		1,633,537	 903,788
Excess (Deficiency) of Receipts Over Disbursements Before Other Adjustments to Cash (Uses)		133,323		(119,959)	(786,881)
Other Adjustments to Cash (Uses)					
Loan Proceeds				346,682	
Transfers From Other Funds		500,000		310,002	925,000
Transfers To Other Funds					 
Total Other Adjustments to Cash (Uses)		500,000		346,682	 925,000
Net Change in Fund Balance		633,323		226,723	138,119
Fund Balance - Beginning		869,537		545,183	 541,765
Fund Balance - Ending	\$	1,502,860	\$	771,906	\$ 679,884
Composition of Fund Balance					
Bank Balance	\$	1,359,462	\$	682,494	\$ 160,759
Less: Outstanding Checks	,	(59,509)	•	(20,588)	(2,483)
Certificates of Deposit		202,907		110,000	521,608
Fund Balance - Ending	\$	1,502,860	\$	771,906	\$ 679,884

#### MERCER COUNTY STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES - REGULATORY BASIS For The Year Ended June 30, 2017 (Continued)

Clocal Government Economic Assistance   Fund   Fu			В	udget	ted Fu	nds		Unbu	dgeted Funds	
117,685	Go E	vernment conomic ssistance	Grant	t	]		Net Profit		roperties orporation	
57,245         91,577         868,190         3,226,001           205,506         299,191         36,377         14,329         157,739           236         119         2,605         19,071           57,481         333,579         1,631,486         868,190         7,307,798           35,000         358,761         38,124         1,344,995         1,308,055           125,838         3,000         53,171         6,994         168,515         358           25,341         864,884         909,307         255         255         255         255         255         255         255         255         255         255         255         464,884         909,307         255,341         650         255,229         255         299         38,362         867,084         6,525,229         36,682         1,425,000         346,682         1,425,000         24,225,000         24,225,000         24,225,000         24,225,000         2	\$		\$		\$		\$ 1,614,552	\$		\$ 117,685
236         119         2,605         19,071           57,481         333,579         1,631,486         868,190         7,307,798           35,000         358,761         38,124         1,344,995         1,308,055           125,838         3,000         53,171         6,994         168,515         358           25,341         864,884         909,307         255         650           25,341         864,884         909,307         255         255           108         238         2,200         1,222,544           70,335         358,869         38,362         867,084         6,525,229           (12,854)         (25,290)         1,593,124         1,106         782,569           (12,854)         (25,290)         1,68,124         1,106         782,569           (12,854)         (25,290)         168,124         1,106         1,129,251           346,383         203,462         1,443,255         9,979         3,959,564           \$ 333,529         0         178,172         1,611,379         11,085         \$ 5,088,815           \$ 333,529         \$ 181,310         943,945         \$ 11,085         \$ 3,672,584           (3,138)         (189)<		57,245				205,506	14,329		868,190	3,226,001 299,191
35,000 358,761 38,124 1,344,995 1,308,055 125,838 3,000 5106,994 5106,995 5		236				119	 2,605			
1,308,055   125,838   3,000   53,171   6,994   168,515   3588   1,391,541   650   25,341   864,884   909,307   255   25,341   70,335   358,869   38,362   867,084   6,525,229   (12,854)   (25,290)   1,593,124   1,106   782,569   (1,425,000)   (1,425,000		57,481	-			333,579	 1,631,486		868,190	 7,307,798
3,000 6,994 6,994 6,994 6,994 6,994 6,994 6,994 6,994 6,994 6,994 6,994 6,994 6,994 6,994 6,994 6,994 6,994 6,994 6,505		35,000				358,761	38,124			1,308,055
1,391,541										53,171 168,515
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		25,341							864,884	1,391,541 650 909,307
70,335       358,869       38,362       867,084       6,525,229         (12,854)       (25,290)       1,593,124       1,106       782,569         (1,425,000)       (1,425,000)       (1,425,000)       (1,425,000)       (1,425,000)       (3,46,682)         (12,854)       (25,290)       168,124       1,106       1,129,251         346,383       203,462       1,443,255       9,979       3,959,564         \$ 333,529       \$ 0 \$ 178,172       \$ 1,611,379       \$ 11,085       \$ 5,088,815         \$ 333,529       \$ 181,310       \$ 943,945       \$ 11,085       \$ 3,672,584         \$ 333,529       \$ 181,310       \$ 943,945       \$ 11,085       \$ 3,672,584         \$ (3,138)       (189)       (85,907)       1,502,138						108	238		2,200	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		70,335								
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(12,854)				(25,290)	 1,593,124		1,106	782,569
346,383       203,462       1,443,255       9,979       3,959,564         \$ 333,529       0       178,172       1,611,379       11,085       5,088,815         \$ 333,529       \$ 181,310       943,945       11,085       3,672,584         (3,138)       (189)       (85,907)         667,623       1,502,138							 			 1,425,000 (1,425,000)
\$ 333,529 \$ \$ 181,310 \$ 943,945 \$ 11,085 \$ 3,672,584 (3,138) (189) (85,907) 667,623 1,502,138										
(3,138) (189) (85,907) 667,623 1,502,138	\$		\$	0	\$		\$	\$		\$
\$ 333,529     \$ 0     \$ 178,172     \$ 1,611,379     \$ 11,085     \$ 5,088,815	\$	333,529	\$		\$		\$ (189)	\$	11,085	\$ (85,907)
	\$	333,529	\$	0	\$	178,172	\$ 1,611,379	\$	11,085	\$ 5,088,815

### INDEX FOR NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT

NOTE 1.	SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES	9
Note 2.	DEPOSITS	12
Note 3.	Transfers	12
Note 4.	LONG-TERM DEBT	12
Note 5.	INTERLOCAL COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT	14
Note 6.	SOLID WASTE LANDFILL	14
Note 7.	EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT SYSTEM	15
Note 8.	DEFERRED COMPENSATION	16
Note 9.	HEALTH REIMBURSEMENT ACCOUNT/FLEXIBLE SPENDING ACCOUNT	16
NOTE 10.	INSURANCE	16
NOTE 11.	CONDUIT DEBT	17
NOTE 12.	TAX ABATEMENT	17

### MERCER COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

June 30, 2017

#### **Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

#### A. Reporting Entity

The financial statement of Mercer County includes all budgeted and unbudgeted funds under the control of the Mercer County Fiscal Court. Budgeted funds included within the reporting entity are those funds presented in the county's approved annual budget and reported on the quarterly reports submitted to the Department for Local Government. Unbudgeted funds may include non-fiduciary financial activities, private purpose trust funds, and internal service funds that are within the county's control. Unbudgeted funds may also include any corporation to act as the fiscal court in the acquisition and financing of any public project which may be undertaken by the fiscal court pursuant to the provisions of Kentucky law and thus accomplish a public purpose of the fiscal court. The unbudgeted funds are not presented in the annual approved budget or in the quarterly reports submitted to the Department for Local Government.

#### B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement is presented on a regulatory basis of accounting, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) as established by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. This basis of accounting involves the reporting of fund balances and the changes therein resulting from cash inflows (cash receipts) and cash outflows (cash disbursements) to meet the financial reporting requirements of the Department for Local Government and the laws of the Commonwealth of Kentucky.

This regulatory basis of accounting differs from GAAP primarily because the financial statement format does not include the GAAP presentations of government-wide and fund financial statements, cash receipts are recognized when received in cash rather than when earned and susceptible to accrual, and cash disbursements are recognized when paid rather than when incurred or subject to accrual.

Generally, except as otherwise provided by law, property taxes are assessed as of January 1, levied (mailed) November 1, due at discount November 30, due at face value December 31, delinquent January 1 following the assessment, and subject to sale ninety days following April 15.

#### C. Basis of Presentation

#### **Budgeted Funds**

The fiscal court reports the following budgeted funds:

General Fund - This is the primary operating fund of the fiscal court. It accounts for all financial resources of the general government, except where the Department for Local Government requires a separate fund or where management requires that a separate fund be used for some function.

Road Fund - This fund is for road and bridge construction and repair. The primary sources of receipts for this fund are state payments for truck license distribution, municipal road aid, and transportation grants. The Department for Local Government requires the fiscal court to maintain these receipts and disbursements separately from the general fund.

Jail Fund - The primary purpose of this fund is to account for the jail expenses of the county. The primary sources of receipts for this fund are reimbursements from the state and federal governments, payments from other counties for housing prisoners, and transfers from the general fund. The Department for Local Government requires the fiscal court to maintain these receipts and disbursements separately from the general fund.

#### **Note 1.** Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

#### C. Basis of Presentation (Continued)

Local Government Economic Assistance Fund - The primary purpose of this fund is to account for grants and related disbursements. The primary sources of receipts for this fund are grants from the state and federal governments.

Federal Grant Fund - The primary purpose of this fund is to account for federal grants funds received and expended.

Landfill Fund – This fund includes post-closure maintenance and monitoring costs of the landfill. This fund also includes activities related to the collection and disposal of garbage and recycling materials from residents of Mercer County.

Payroll and Net Profit Fund - The primary purpose of this fund is for collection of local payroll taxes and net profit tax, which is the primary revenue source. These funds are used to support the operation of county government.

#### **Unbudgeted Funds**

The fiscal court reports the following unbudgeted fund:

Public Properties Corporation Fund - The primary purpose of this fund is to account for the proceeds and debt service of revenue bonds that were issued to fund construction of public buildings. The state local finance officer does not require the fiscal court to report or budget this fund.

#### **D.** Budgetary Information

Annual budgets are adopted on a regulatory basis of accounting which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) as established by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board and according to the laws of Kentucky as required by the state local finance officer.

The county judge/executive is required to submit estimated receipts and proposed disbursements to the fiscal court by May 1 of each year. The budget is prepared by fund, function, and activity and is required to be adopted by the fiscal court by July 1.

The fiscal court may change the original budget by transferring appropriations at the activity level; however, the fiscal court may not increase the total budget without approval by the state local finance officer. Disbursements may not exceed budgeted appropriations at the activity level.

The state local finance officer does not require the public properties corporation fund to be budgeted. Bond indentures and other relevant contractual provisions require specific payments to and from this fund annually.

#### E. Mercer County Elected Officials

Kentucky law provides for election of the officials listed below from the geographic area constituting Mercer County. Pursuant to state statute, these officials perform various services for the Commonwealth of Kentucky, its judicial courts, the fiscal court, various cities and special districts within the county, and the Board of Education. In exercising these responsibilities, however, they are required to comply with state laws. Audits of

#### **Note 1.** Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

#### E. Mercer County Elected Officials (Continued)

their financial statements are issued separately and individually and can be obtained from their respective administrative offices. These financial statements are not required to be included in the financial statement of the Mercer County Fiscal Court.

- Circuit Court Clerk
- County Attorney
- Property Valuation Administrator
- County Clerk
- County Sheriff

#### F. Deposits and Investments

The government's fund balance is considered to be cash on hand, demand deposits, certificates of deposit, and short-term investments with original maturities of three months or less from the date of acquisition. The government's fund balance includes cash and cash equivalents and investments.

KRS 66.480 authorizes the county to invest in obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

#### **G.** Long-term Obligations

The fund financial statement recognizes bond interest, as well as bond issuance costs when received or when paid, during the current period. The principal amount of the debt and interest are reported as disbursements. Issuance costs, whether or not withheld from the actual debt proceeds received, are reported as disbursements. Debt proceeds are reported as other adjustments to cash.

#### H. Tax Abatements

GASB Statement No. 77 - Tax Abatement Disclosures is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015.

The requirements of this Statement improve financial reporting by giving users of financial statements essential information that is not consistently or comprehensively reported to the public presently. Disclosure of information about the nature and magnitude of tax abatements will make these transactions more transparent to financial statement users. As a result, users will be better equipped to understand (1) how tax abatements affect a government's future ability to raise resources and meet its financial obligations and (2) the impact those abatements have on a government's financial position and economic condition.

#### Note 2. Deposits

The fiscal court maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) as required by KRS 66.480(1)(d). According to KRS 41.240, the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the fiscal court and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution. These requirements were met.

#### Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a depository institution failure, the government's deposits may not be returned. The government does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk, but rather follows the requirements of KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240. As of June 30, 2017, all deposits were covered by FDIC insurance or a properly executed collateral security agreement.

#### Note 3. Transfers

The table below shows the interfund operating transfers for fiscal year 2017.

	Pay	roll and Net		Total
	P	rofit Fund	Tr	ansfers In
General Fund	\$	500,000	\$	500,000
Jail Fund		925,000		925,000
Total Transfers Out	\$	1,425,000	\$ 1	1,425,000

#### Reason for transfers:

To move resources from the payroll and net profit fund, for budgetary purposes, to the funds that will expend them.

#### Note 4. Long-term Debt

#### A. Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 - Judicial Center

On August 1, 2009, the Mercer County Public Properties Corporation issued \$12,385,000 of First Mortgage Revenue Bonds. The proceeds from this issuances were used to (a) provide financing for the acquisition, development, and construction of a new courthouse facility, located within the city, to be used and leased by the Administrative Office the of Courts (AOC); (b) pay the principal and interest of the First Mortgage Revenue Bond Anticipation Note; (c) pay capitalized and/or accrued interest, if any; and (d) pay the cost of issuance incurred with respect to the issuance of bonds. Principal payments are due annually on August 1, and interest, which varies at a rate of 1.2 percent to 4.125 percent, is payable semi-annually on February 1 and August 1. In March 2016, these bonds were partially defeased with First Mortgage Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 2016. The outstanding principal balance as of June 30, 2017, is \$2,460,000. Future principal and interest requirements are:

#### **Note 4.** Long-term Debt (Continued)

#### A. Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 - Judicial Center (Continued)

Fiscal Year Ending June 30	I	Principal	Scheduled Interest			
2010	_	<b>7</b> 0 <b>7</b> 000		<b>-</b> 1 0 50		
2018	\$	585,000	\$	71,960		
2019		605,000		53,505		
2020		625,000		33,200		
2021		645,000		11,288		
				_		
Totals	\$	2,460,000	\$	169,953		

#### B. Revenue Bond, Series 2016 - Judicial Center

On March 8, 2016, the Mercer County Public Properties Corporation issued \$6,170,000 of First Mortgage Refunding Revenue Bonds. Proceeds from the bonds will be used for the purpose of (i) the advance refunding of all or certain maturities of the \$12,385,000 Mercer County, Kentucky Public Properties Corporation First Mortgage Revenue Bonds (Judicial Center Project), Series 2009, dated August 1, 2009; (ii) the payment of accrued interest, if any; and (iii) the payment of the cost of issuance incurred with respect to the issuance of the bonds. Principal payments are due annually on August 1 beginning August 1, 2021. Interest payments, which varies from three percent to four percent, is payable semi-annually on February 1 and August 1. The outstanding principal balance as of June 30, 2017, is \$6,170,000. Future principal and interest requirements are:

Fiscal Year Ending June 30	_	Principal	Scheduled Interest		
2018	\$		\$	229,150	
2019				229,150	
2020				229,150	
2021				229,150	
2022		545,000		218,250	
2023-2027		3,860,000		663,550	
2028-2029		1,765,000		53,325	
Totals	\$	6,170,000	\$	1,851,725	

#### C. Tractors with Mowers

In September 2016, the Mercer County Fiscal Court entered into a four-year lease agreement with AGCO Finance to lease-purchase three Massey Ferguson Tractors and mowers for the road department. The lease was for \$346,682 with principal and interest payments due annually in September. The county had trade ins and made down payments totaling \$110,427. The final balloon payment to purchase the vehicles will be due September 1, 2020. The first payment is due September 1, 2017, and the balance as of June 30, 2017, was \$236,255. Future principal and interest requirements are:

#### **Note 4.** Long-term Debt (Continued)

#### **C.** Tractors with Mowers (Continued)

Fiscal Year Ending June 30	F	Principal	Scheduled Interest			
2018 2019 2020 2021	\$	47,820 49,967 52,210 86,258	\$	10,608 8,461 6,217 3,873		
Totals	\$	236,255	\$	29,159		

#### D. Sheriff Vehicles

In March 2013, the Mercer County Fiscal Court entered into a four-year lease agreement with Magnolia Bank to purchase three Dodge Chargers for the sheriff's department. The lease was for \$95,410 at an interest rate of 3.9 percent. Principal and interest payments are due annually in March. The principal balance was paid in full as scheduled.

#### E. Changes In Long-term Debt

Long-term Debt activity for the year ended June 30, 2017, was as follows:

	Beginning Balance		Additions			Reductions		Ending Balance		Due Within One Year	
Revenue Bonds Financing Obligations	\$	9,200,000 18,576	\$	346,682	\$	570,000 129,003	\$	8,630,000 236,255	\$	585,000 47,820	
Total Long-term Debt	\$	9,218,576	\$	346,682	\$	699,003	\$	8,866,255	\$	632,820	

#### Note 5. Interlocal Cooperative Agreement

Mercer County has entered into an interlocal cooperation agreement with Boyle County to share in the cost of a regional jail facility. The facility is owned by Boyle County. Mercer County agreed to pay a percentage, not below 35 percent and not to exceed 50 percent, of the yearly costs in exchange for the use of the facility for Mercer County prisoners. Debt service for the jail is allocated when the annual operation budget is determined.

#### Note 6. Solid Waste Landfill

The Mercer County Fiscal Court operated a landfill, which ceased operations on June 30, 1992. The county initiated closure operations in April 1991. Closure costs were estimated to be \$52,700 and the county completed the steps necessary to close the landfill. The county believes that it has met all federal, state, and local laws and regulations in regard to the closure of the landfill. Post-closure care consists primarily of groundwater monitoring and landscape maintenance. The county is required to file reports with the appropriate regulatory agencies regarding the findings and groundwater monitoring activities. Post-closure costs are included in the landfill fund. Mercer County expended \$33,900 for post-closure costs for fiscal year ended June 30, 2017. Future costs are estimated to be the same as what was expended this fiscal year and have been budgeted in the landfill fund. Inflation, deflation, technology, or applicable laws and regulations could affect these costs.

#### Note 7. Employee Retirement System

The fiscal court has elected to participate, pursuant to KRS 78.530, in the County Employees Retirement System (CERS), which is administered by the Board of Trustees of the Kentucky Retirement Systems (KRS). This is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer, defined benefit pension plan, which covers all eligible full-time employees and provides for retirement, disability, and death benefits to plan members. Benefit contributions and provisions are established by statute.

The county's contribution for FY 2015 was \$317,191, FY 2016 was \$309,000, and FY 2017 was \$339,935.

Nonhazardous covered employees are required to contribute five percent of their salary to the plan. Nonhazardous covered employees who begin participation on or after September 1, 2008, are required to contribute six percent of their salary to be allocated as follows: five percent will go to the member's account and one percent will go to the KRS insurance fund.

In accordance with Senate Bill 2, signed by the Governor on April 4, 2013, plan members who began participating on or after January 1, 2014, were required to contribute to the Cash Balance Plan. The Cash Balance Plan is known as a hybrid plan because it has characteristics of both a defined benefit plan and a defined contribution plan. Members in the plan contribute a set percentage of their salary each month to their own accounts. Nonhazardous covered employees contribute five percent of their annual creditable compensation. Nonhazardous members also contribute one percent to the health insurance fund which is not credited to the member's account and is not refundable. The employer contribution rate is set annually by the KRS Board of Directors based on an actuarial valuation. The employer contributes a set percentage of the member's salary. Each month, when employer contributions are received, an employer pay credit is deposited to the member's account. A member's account is credited with a four percent employer pay credit. The employer pay credit represents a portion of the employer contribution.

Benefits fully vest on reaching five years of service for nonhazardous employees. Aspects of benefits for nonhazardous employees include retirement after 27 years of service or age 65. Nonhazardous employees who begin participation on or after September 1, 2008, must meet the rule of 87 (member's age plus years of service credit must equal 87, and the member must be a minimum of 57 years of age) or the member is age 65, with a minimum of 60 months service credit.

The county's contribution rate for nonhazardous employees was 18.68 percent.

#### Health Insurance Coverage

CERS also provides post-retirement health care coverage as follows:

For members participating prior to July 1, 2003, years of service and respective percentages of the maximum contribution are as follows:

Years of Service	% Paid by Insurance Fund	% Paid by Member through Payroll Deduction
20 or more	100%	0%
15-19	75%	25%
10-14	50%	50%
4-9	25%	75%
Less than 4	0%	100%

#### Note 7. Employee Retirement System (Continued)

As a result of House Bill 290 (2004 General Assembly), medical insurance benefits are calculated differently for members who began participation on or after July 1, 2003. Once members reach a minimum vesting period of ten years, non-hazardous employees whose participation began on or after July 1, 2003, earn ten dollars per month for insurance benefits at retirement for every year of earned service without regard to a maximum dollar amount. This dollar amount is subject to adjustment annually based on the retiree cost of living adjustment, which is updated annually due to changes in the Consumer Price Index.

KRS issues a publicly available annual financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information on CERS. This report may be obtained by writing the Kentucky Retirement Systems, 1260 Louisville Road, Frankfort, KY 40601-6124, or by telephone at (502) 564-4646.

KRS also issues a proportionate share audit report that includes the total pension liability for CERS determined by actuarial valuation as well as each participating county's proportionate share. The Schedules of Employer Allocations and Pension Amounts by Employer report and the related actuarial tables are available online at <a href="https://kyret.ky.gov/Employers/Resources/Pages/GASB.aspx">https://kyret.ky.gov/Employers/Resources/Pages/GASB.aspx</a>. The complete actuarial valuation report, including all actuarial assumptions and methods, is also available on the website or can be obtained as described in the paragraph above.

#### Note 8. Deferred Compensation

The Mercer County Fiscal Court voted to allow all eligible employees to participate in deferred compensation plans administered by the Kentucky Public Employees' Deferred Compensation Authority. The Kentucky Public Employees' Deferred Compensation Authority is authorized under KRS 18A.230 to 18A.275 to provide administration of tax sheltered supplemental retirement plans for all state, public school and university employees, and employees of local political subdivisions that have elected to participate.

These deferred compensation plans permit all full time employees to defer a portion of their salary until future years. The deferred compensation is not available to employees until termination, retirement, death, or unforeseeable emergency. Participation by eligible employees in the deferred compensation plans is voluntary.

Historical trend information showing the Kentucky Public Employees' Deferred Compensation Authority's progress in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due is presented in the Kentucky Public Employees' Deferred Compensation Authority's annual financial report. This report may be obtained by writing the Kentucky Public Employees' Deferred Compensation Authority at 101 Sea Hero Road, Suite 110, Frankfort, KY 40601-8862, or by telephone at (502) 573-7925.

#### Note 9. Health Reimbursement Account/Flexible Spending Account

The Mercer County Fiscal Court established a flexible spending account to provide employees an additional health benefit. The county has contracted with a third-party administrator to administer the plan. The plan provides a debit card to each eligible employee, providing \$1,500 each year to pay for qualified medical expenses.

#### Note 10. Insurance

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017, the Mercer County Fiscal Court was a member of the Kentucky Association of Counties' All Lines Fund (KALF). KALF is a self-insurance fund and was organized to obtain lower cost coverage for general liability, property damage, public officials' errors and omissions, public liability, and other damages. The basic nature of a self-insurance program is that of collectively shared risk by its

#### **Note 10.** Insurance (Continued)

members. If losses incurred for covered claims exceed the resources contributed by the members, the members are responsible for payment of the excess losses.

#### Note 11. Conduit Debt

From time to time the county has issued bonds to provide financial assistance to industries in Mercer County for the acquisition and construction of industrial and commercial facilities deemed to be in the public interest, in accordance with KRS 103.210. This debt may take the form of certain types of limited-obligation revenue bonds, certificates of participation, or similar debt instruments. Although conduit debt obligations bear the Mercer County Fiscal Court's name as issuer, the fiscal court has no obligation for such debt beyond the resources provided by a lease or loan with the third party on whose behalf it is issued. Neither the fiscal court, nor any political subdivision thereof, is obligated in any manner for repayment of the bonds. Accordingly, the bonds are not reported as liabilities in the accompanying financial statement. As of June 30, 2017, conduit debt has been issued but the amount currently outstanding is not reasonably determinable.

#### Note 12. Tax Abatement

The occupational tax license fee was abated under the authority of the Kentucky Business Investment (KBI) Program. Hitachi Automotive Systems Americas, Inc. (the company) is eligible to receive this tax abatement as a result of its commitment to create certain jobs and investments in Harrodsburg, Kentucky. The taxes are abated by allowing the company to retain or have rebated to them 0.33 percent of subject wages and compensation earned in Mercer County, Kentucky in accordance with the Mercer County Occupational License Fee ordinance for ten years from approval of the project by KBI. Abatement of taxes can be suspended if the company does not maintain the required baseline of 626 employees and at least 10 employees associated with the new Kentucky Business Investment Program Project. For fiscal year ended June 30, 2017, Mercer County Fiscal Court abated occupational taxes totaling \$15,717.



## MERCER COUNTY BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULES Supplementary Information - Regulatory Basis

For The Year Ended June 30, 2017



## MERCER COUNTY BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULES Supplementary Information - Regulatory Basis

#### For The Year Ended June 30, 2017

	GENERAL FUND								
		Budgeted Amounts Original Final		Actual Amounts, (Budgetary Basis)		F	ariance with inal Budget Positive (Negative)		
RECEIPTS		<u> </u>						<u> </u>	
Taxes	\$	1,735,140	\$	1,735,140	\$	1,867,214	\$	132,074	
Excess Fees		64,921		64,921		117,685		52,764	
Licenses and Permits		6,300		6,300		6,345		45	
Intergovernmental		845,600		845,600		588,572		(257,028)	
Charges for Services		88,750		88,750		93,685		4,935	
Miscellaneous		66,700		66,700		105,391		38,691	
Interest		2,050		2,050		7,685		5,635	
Total Receipts		2,809,461		2,809,461		2,786,577		(22,884)	
DISBURSEMENTS									
General Government		998,120		1,007,925		898,582		109,343	
Protection to Persons and Property		554,650		551,150		404,097		147,053	
General Health and Sanitation		164,500		164,500		121,950		42,550	
Social Services		61,500		64,250		50,171		14,079	
Recreation and Culture		555,340		556,690		161,521		395,169	
Debt Service		20,000		20,000		19,082		918	
Administration		1,831,891		1,821,486		997,851		823,635	
Total Disbursements		4,186,001		4,186,001		2,653,254		1,532,747	
Excess (Deficiency) of Receipts Over Disbursements Before Other									
Adjustments to Cash (Uses)		(1,376,540)		(1,376,540)		133,323		1,509,863	
Other Adjustments to Cash (Uses)									
Transfers From Other Funds		532,228		532,228		500,000		(32,228)	
Total Other Adjustments to Cash (Uses)		532,228		532,228		500,000		(32,228)	

(844,312)

844,312

(844,312)

844,312

633,323

869,537

0 \$ 1,502,860

1,477,635

1,502,860

25,225

Net Change in Fund Balance

Fund Balance - Beginning

Fund Balance - Ending

	ROAD FUND							
		Budgeted Amounts Original Final		Actual Amounts, (Budgetary Basis)		Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)		
RECEIPTS		011511111				24010)		i (egua/e)
Intergovernmental	\$	1,465,090	\$	1,509,489	\$	1,506,324	\$	(3,165)
Miscellaneous		30,000		30,000		1,642		(28,358)
Interest		3,150		3,150		5,612		2,462
Total Receipts		1,498,240		1,542,639		1,513,578		(29,061)
DISBURSEMENTS								
General Government		16,750		16,750		14,528		2,222
Protection to Persons and Property		1,500		1,500		170		1,330
General Health and Sanitation		25,000		25,000		3,888		21,112
Transportation Facilities and Services		8,500		8,500		358		8,142
Roads		1,479,358		1,518,757		1,391,541		127,216
Other Transportation Facilities and Services		700		700		650		50
Capital Projects		30,000		35,000		255		34,745
Administration		637,900		637,900		222,147		415,753
Total Disbursements		2,199,708		2,244,107		1,633,537		610,570
Excess (Deficiency) of Receipts Over Disbursements Before Other								
Adjustments to Cash (Uses)		(701,468)		(701,468)		(119,959)		581,509
Other Adjustments to Cash (Uses)								
Loan Proceeds		100 110		100 110		346,682		346,682
Transfers From Other Funds		198,448		198,448				(198,448)
Transfers To Other Funds		(51,480)		(51,480)		245.502		51,480
Total Other Adjustments to Cash (Uses)		146,968		146,968		346,682		199,714
Net Change in Fund Balance		(554,500)		(554,500)		226,723		781,223
Fund Balance - Beginning		554,500		554,500		545,183		(9,317)
Fund Balance - Ending	\$	0	\$	0	\$	771,906	\$	771,906

	JAIL FUND								
		Budgeted Original	lgeted Amounts Final		Actual Amounts, (Budgetary Basis)		Fi	riance with nal Budget Positive Negative)	
RECEIPTS		Original		Tillal		Dasis)		(Negative)	
Intergovernmental	\$	101,000	\$	101,000	\$	114,093	\$	13,093	
Miscellaneous		1,000		1,000				(1,000)	
Interest		375		375		2,814		2,439	
Total Receipts		102,375		102,375		116,907		14,532	
DISBURSEMENTS									
Protection to Persons and Property		1,042,029		1,051,294		903,788		147,506	
Administration		551,308		542,043				542,043	
Total Disbursements		1,593,337		1,593,337		903,788		689,549	
Excess (Deficiency) of Receipts Over									
Disbursements Before Other									
Adjustments to Cash (Uses)		(1,490,962)		(1,490,962)		(786,881)		704,081	
Other Adjustments to Cash (Uses)									
Transfers From Other Funds		960,854		960,854		925,000		(35,854)	
Total Other Adjustments to Cash (Uses)		960,854		960,854		925,000		(35,854)	
Net Change in Fund Balance		(530,108)		(530,108)		138,119		668,227	
Fund Balance - Beginning		530,108		530,108		541,765		11,657	
Fund Balance - Ending	\$	0	\$	0	\$	679,884	\$	679,884	

#### LOCAL GOVERNMENT ECONOMIC ASSISTANCE FUND

	 Budgeted Original	Amo	unts Final	A	Actual Amounts, Budgetary Basis)	Fi	riance with nal Budget Positive Negative)
RECEIPTS							
Intergovernmental	\$ 45,000	\$	45,000	\$	57,245	\$	12,245
Interest	 250		250		236		(14)
Total Receipts	 45,250		45,250		57,481		12,231
DISBURSEMENTS							
General Government	35,000		35,000		35,000		
Social Services	5,000		5,000		3,000		2,000
Recreation and Culture			7,000		6,994		6
Road Facilities	100,000		100,000		25,341		74,659
Capital Projects	261,950		254,950				254,950
Total Disbursements	401,950		401,950		70,335		331,615
Excess (Deficiency) of Receipts Over							
Disbursements Before Other							
Adjustments to Cash (Uses)	 (356,700)		(356,700)		(12,854)		343,846
Net Change in Fund Balance	(356,700)		(356,700)		(12,854)		343,846
Fund Balance - Beginning	 356,700		356,700		346,383		(10,317)
Fund Balance - Ending	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	333,529	\$	333,529

	FEDERAL GRANT FUND								
		Budgeted		Amo (Bud	etual ounts, getary	Variance with Final Budget Positive			
		Original		Final	Ba	ısis)	(Negative)		
RECEIPTS									
Intergovernmental	\$	424,000	\$	424,000	\$	0	\$	(424,000)	
Total Receipts		424,000		424,000				(424,000)	
DISBURSEMENTS									
Protection to Persons and Property		424,000		424,000				424,000	
Total Disbursements		424,000		424,000				424,000	
Net Change in Fund Balance									
Fund Balance - Beginning									
Fund Balance - Ending	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	

LANDFILL FUND Variance with Actual Amounts, Final Budget **Budgeted Amounts** (Budgetary Positive Final Original Basis) (Negative) **RECEIPTS** Intergovernmental \$ 126,849 \$ 126,849 \$ 91,577 \$ (35,272)Charges for Services 165,500 165,500 205,506 40,006 11,500 11,500 Miscellaneous 36,377 24,877 Interest 119 50 50 69 303,899 303,899 29,680 Total Receipts 333,579 DISBURSEMENTS General Government 397,949 410,114 358,761 51,353 25,400 Administration 13,235 108 13,127 423,349 423,349 358,869 **Total Disbursements** 64,480 Excess (Deficiency) of Receipts Over Disbursements Before Other Adjustments to Cash (Uses) (119,450)(119,450)(25,290)94,160 Net Change in Fund Balance (119,450)(119,450)(25,290)94,160 Fund Balance - Beginning 119,450 119,450 203,462 84,012 Fund Balance - Ending 0 \$ 0 \$ 178,172 \$ 178,172

				10221212	,		- 12	
		Budgeted	Am		(	Actual Amounts, (Budgetary	F	ariance with Final Budget Positive
DECEMBE		Original		Final		Basis)		(Negative)
RECEIPTS	_		_		_		_	
Taxes	\$	1,100,000	\$	1,100,000	\$	1,614,552	\$	514,552
Miscellaneous		12,500		12,500		14,329		1,829
Interest		350		350		2,605		2,255
Total Receipts		1,112,850		1,112,850		1,631,486		518,636
DISBURSEMENTS								
General Government		65,300		65,300		38,124		27,176
Administration		678,023		678,023		238		677,785
Total Disbursements		743,323		743,323		38,362		704,961
Excess (Deficiency) of Receipts Over								
Disbursements Before Other								
Adjustments to Cash (Uses)		369,527		369,527		1,593,124		1,223,597
Other Adjustments to Cash (Uses)								
Transfers To Other Funds		(1,640,050)		(1,640,050)		(1,425,000)		215,050
Total Other Adjustments to Cash (Uses)		(1,640,050)		(1,640,050)		(1,425,000)		215,050
Net Change in Fund Balance		(1,270,523)		(1,270,523)		168,124		1,438,647
Fund Balance - Beginning		1,270,523		1,270,523)		1,443,255		1,438,047
ruid Daailee - Degiiiliig		1,270,323		1,270,323		1,443,233	-	172,732
Fund Balance - Ending	\$	0	\$	0	\$	1,611,379	\$	1,611,379

#### MERCER COUNTY NOTES TO REGULATORY SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION - BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULES

June 30, 2017

#### **Note 1. Budgetary Information**

Annual budgets are adopted on a regulatory basis of accounting which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) as established by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board and according to the laws of Kentucky as required by the state local finance officer.

The county judge/executive is required to submit estimated receipts and proposed disbursements to the fiscal court by May 1 of each year. The budget is prepared by fund, function, and activity and is required to be adopted by the fiscal court by July 1.

The fiscal court may change the original budget by transferring appropriations at the activity level; however, the fiscal court may not increase the total budget without approval by the state local finance officer. Disbursements may not exceed budgeted appropriations at the activity level.

#### MERCER COUNTY SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS Supplementary Information - Regulatory Basis

For The Year Ended June 30, 2017



## MERCER COUNTY SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS Supplementary Information - Regulatory Basis

#### For The Year Ended June 30, 2017

The fiscal court reports the following Schedule of Capital Assets:

Beginning			Ending
Balance	Additions	Deletions	Balance
\$ 319,884	\$	\$	\$ 319,884
16,321,232			16,321,232
643,668	6,500		650,168
1,334,301	430,858	150,113	1,615,046
8,123,723	416,204		8,539,927
\$ 26,742,808	\$ 853,562	\$ 150,113	\$27,446,257
	\$ 319,884 16,321,232 643,668 1,334,301 8,123,723	Balance       Additions         \$ 319,884       \$ 16,321,232         643,668       6,500         1,334,301       430,858         8,123,723       416,204	Balance         Additions         Deletions           \$ 319,884         \$ \$           16,321,232         643,668         6,500           1,334,301         430,858         150,113           8,123,723         416,204

#### MERCER COUNTY NOTES TO REGULATORY SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION - SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2017

#### Note 1. Capital Assets

Capital assets, which include land, land improvements, buildings, furniture and office equipment, building improvements, machinery, equipment, and infrastructure assets (roads and bridges) that have a useful life of more than one reporting period based on the government's capitalization policy, are reported as other information. Such assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost when purchased or constructed.

	-	italization	Useful Life	
	Tr	reshold	(Years)	
Land Improvements	\$	12,500	10-45	
Buildings and Building Improvements	\$	25,000	10-60	
Machinery and Equipment	\$	5,000	3-20	
Vehicles	\$	5,000	3-20	
Infrastructure	\$	10,000	10-40	

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS





# MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

The Honorable Milward Dedman, Mercer County Judge/Executive Members of the Mercer County Fiscal Court

Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards* 

#### Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Fund Balances - Regulatory Basis of the Mercer County Fiscal Court for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statement which collectively comprise the Mercer County Fiscal Court's financial statement and have issued our report thereon dated February 27, 2018.

#### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statement, we considered the Mercer County Fiscal Court's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Mercer County Fiscal Court's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Mercer County Fiscal Court's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified. We identified certain deficiencies in internal control, which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses that we consider to be significant deficiencies as items 2017-002 and 2017-003.



Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards* (Continued)

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Mercer County Fiscal Court's financial statement is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses as items 2017-001 and 2017-002.

### **Views of Responsible Official and Planned Corrective Action**

Mercer County's views and planned corrective action for the findings identified in our audit are included in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses. The county's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statement, and accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

Mike Harmon

**Auditor of Public Accounts** 

February 27, 2018

# MERCER COUNTY SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES

For The Year Ended June 30, 2017



# MERCER COUNTY SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES

#### For The Year Ended June 30, 2017

#### **FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS:**

2017-001 The Mercer County Fiscal Court Did Not Have An Effective Purchase Order System

This is a repeat finding and was included in the prior year audit report as finding 2016-002. During testing of disbursements, auditors noted the following issues:

- Twenty-three of 64 invoices tested did not have a purchase order, with examples of purchases being asphalt, elevator maintenance, and reimbursement for conference hotel expenses. Of the 23 exceptions, 11 of the disbursements were for utilities.
- The county paid two invoices for asphalt that did not use bid prices, which resulted in an overpayment of \$2,809.

Although policies were in place requiring the issuance of purchase orders, the fiscal court did not ensure that all departments adhered to established policies. The Mercer County Judge/Executive stated that the asphalt invoice paid with non-bid prices was due to the location of the project at the park. The county judge/executive believed that the park had their own contract with the vendor and paid the vendor's contracted rates.

The lack of purchase orders is neither compliant with purchasing requirement for counties, nor an effective implementation of internal control. As a result, management is unable to determine where potential issues with spending are before the expense is already incurred, creating the opportunity for waste, fraud, or abuse. Paying non-contract prices for items that have been previously bid out can result in the county overpaying for goods and services.

KRS 68.210 requires the State Local Finance Officer to create a system of uniform accounts for all counties and county officials. The Department for Local Government's *County Budget Preparation and State Local Finance Officer Policy Manual* outlines requirements for handling of public funds, including required purchasing procedures for counties. These requirements prescribe that (1) purchases shall not be made without approval by the judge/executive (or designee) and/or a department head and (2) purchase requests shall not be approved in an amount that exceeds the available line item appropriation unless the necessary and appropriate transfers have been made. Furthermore, per the manual, purchase order systems are required for fiscal courts and should be issued for all goods and services utilized by the fiscal court. This allows for fiscal court to ensure that sufficient budget allocation is available for all expenses and provides a better representation of financial status by reflecting more accurate encumbrances.

The Department for Local Government issued a memorandum on August 4, 2016, in which it "highly recommends" implementation of issuing purchase orders for payroll and utilities. This control allows for the fiscal court to ensure that sufficient budget allocation is available for all expenses.

We recommend the fiscal court improve procedures over purchase orders to ensure they are obtained for all purchases of goods and services. Additionally, the fiscal court should ensure that all purchases of bid items utilize the contract pricing.

# Views of Responsible Official and Planned Corrective Action:

County Judge/Executive's Response: At the end of Fiscal Year 2016-2017, we began issuing Purchase Orders for ALL purchases, utility bills, payrolls, and anything else that requires a check to be written. All departments have been made aware that they must call for a purchase order BEFORE they can make a purchase. The asphalt invoices were for blacktopping a parking lot at Anderson/Dean Community Park. The company who paves our county roads had a third party perform the work, due to their equipment being too large for the park. We were unaware there was a difference in pricing, but will check pricing in the future.

# MERCER COUNTY SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES For The Year Ended June 30, 2017

#### FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS: (Continued)

#### 2017-002 The Mercer County Fiscal Court Did Not Comply With Bidding Requirements

During testing of disbursements, auditors noted there were two purchases that should have been bid by the fiscal court. One purchase was for a forklift for the county landfill, totaling \$41,889. The second purchase was for three leased tractors with mowers. The purchase price for all three tractors combined totaled \$345,227, which includes a down payment of \$58,427 and trade-in value of \$52,000.

Internal controls were not in place to ensure that purchases and leases exceeding \$20,000 were bid out prior to purchase.

Competitive bidding ensures that the county procures materials and services at the best price available. By limiting competition, the county may not get this benefit. Additionally, the county is not in compliance with KRS 424.260.

KRS 424.260(1) states, "[e]xcept where a statute specifically fixes a larger sum as the minimum for a requirement of advertisement for bids, no city, county, or district, or board or commission of a city or county, or sheriff or county clerk, may make a contract, lease, or other agreement for materials, supplies except for perishable meat, fish, and vegetables, equipment, or for contractual services other than professional, involving an expenditure of more than twenty thousand dollars (\$20,000) without first making newspaper advertisement for bids."

We recommend the fiscal court follow the requirements of KRS 424.260 by requiring purchases of \$20,000 or more to be competitively bid.

#### Views of Responsible Official and Planned Corrective Action:

County Judge/Executive's Response: The Mercer County Judge Executive joined the National Joint Powers Alliance under the impression that membership enabled the county to make purchases that fulfilled the procurement process under KRS 45A.300. This membership was used for the purchase of the tractors.

The forklift was purchased using funds from a Solid Waste Grant. The Kentucky Division of Solid Waste asked for a quote on the forklift and approved the grant. It was the county judge executive's opinion that when awarded the grant, it was ok to make the purchase. Through this audit we learned bids should have been obtained. Mercer County will follow the requirements of KRS 424.260 in the future and make certain all purchases of \$20,000.00 or more are competitively bid per our Administrative Code.

#### 2017-003 The Mercer County Fiscal Court Did Not Have Adequate Controls In Place Over Payroll

This is a repeat finding and was included in the prior year audit report as finding 2016-003. The fiscal court has a lack of segregation of duties over payroll processing. The finance officer is responsible for handling payroll for the fiscal court. All timecards are turned in to the finance officer, who enters employee time into the payroll system and processes the payroll. The tax administrator reviews payroll summary reports and compares to timecards for accuracy. The finance officer is also responsible for entering new employees, removing terminated employees, maintaining personnel files, and posting payroll to the disbursements ledgers.

The county treasurer and finance officer indicated duties had not been segregated because the county operates with limited staff.

MERCER COUNTY SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES For The Year Ended June 30, 2017 (Continued)

#### FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS: (Continued)

2017-003 The Mercer County Fiscal Court Did Not Have Adequate Controls In Place Over Payroll (Continued)

When control procedures are performed by the same employee, the risk for material misstatement significantly increases due to undetected error or fraud. The employee could change pay rates, create fake employees, or adjust leave time without detection.

Good internal controls dictate that adequate controls and segregation of duties would prevent the same person from having a significant role over all phases of the payroll process.

We recommend the fiscal court implement adequate controls over payroll by segregating the duties over the handling of payroll. If that is not possible, we recommend the fiscal court provide strong oversight with compensating controls.

#### Views of Responsible Official and Planned Corrective Action:

County Judge/Executive's Response: The Mercer County Fiscal Court will continue to provide strong oversight with compensating controls. The tax administrator will continue reviewing payroll summary reports and compare them to timecards for accuracy.



# CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE - LOCAL GOVERNMENT ECONOMIC ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

# MERCER COUNTY FISCAL COURT

For The Year Ended June 30, 2017



#### CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE

#### LOCAL GOVERNMENT ECONOMIC ASSISTANCE

#### MERCER COUNTY FISCAL COURT

For The Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017

The Mercer County Fiscal Court hereby certifies that assistance received from the Local Government Economic Assistance Program was expended for the purpose intended as dictated by the applicable Kentucky Revised Statutes.

County Judge/Executive

County Treasurer