

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Contact: Michael Goins

Michael.Goins@ky.gov

502.564.5841 502.209.2867

Harmon Releases Audit of Harlan County Fiscal Court

FRANKFORT, Ky. – State Auditor Mike Harmon has released the audit of the financial statement of the Harlan County Fiscal Court for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2020. State law requires annual audits of county fiscal courts.

Auditing standards require the auditor's letter to communicate whether the financial statement presents fairly the receipts, disbursements, and changes in fund balances of the Harlan County Fiscal Court in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The fiscal court's financial statement did not follow this format. However, the fiscal court's financial statement is fairly presented in conformity with the regulatory basis of accounting, which is an acceptable reporting methodology. This reporting methodology is followed for 115 of 120 fiscal court audits in Kentucky.

As part of the audit process, the auditor must comment on noncompliance with laws, regulations, contracts, and grants. The auditor must also comment on material weaknesses involving internal control over financial operations and reporting.

The audit contains the following comments:

The Harlan County Fiscal Court does not have sufficient internal controls over waste removal collections: This is a repeat finding and was included in the prior year audit report as Finding 2019-001. The Harlan County Fiscal Court has failed to implement controls to ensure compliance with requirements of the Solid Waste Franchise Renewal Agreement. The following controls were not in place for Fiscal Year 2020:

- The fiscal court does not have written agreements with districts for garbage collections.
- The fiscal court does not receive delinquent list for all outstanding collections.

• The fiscal court does not receive sufficient documentation of garbage fees collected by all the utility districts.

As of June 30, 2020, the fiscal court is aware of at least \$564,848 in accounts receivables for collection of residential and commercial solid waste throughout Harlan County. Utility districts do not provide monthly collection reports or lists of delinquent residents to the fiscal court. As such, the fiscal court cannot verify the amount of gross residential garbage removal fees collected by the districts or the amount of garbage removal fees remitted to the fiscal court. The lack of delinquent lists prevents the fiscal court from effectively monitoring uncollected revenues. Also the fiscal court cannot provide a listing of delinquent residential customers to the company with the solid waste franchise.

The Harlan County Fiscal Court collects fees for garbage collections as allowed by KRS 109.056(2) and has chosen to collect these fees under the provisions of KRS 109.056(3). KRS 109.056(3) states the fiscal court, "may enter into an agreement with other utilities either public or private to collect such charges." Good internal controls require these agreements be in writing to provide all parties with the terms of the agreements. These written agreements should also include the supporting documentation to be provided to the fiscal court by third parties for fees collected and delinquent. In addition, the Solid Waste Franchise Renewal Agreement requires the fiscal court to provide quarterly to company with solid waste franchise with a list of residential customers with delinquent accounts that are subject to having pickup services terminated.

We recommend the fiscal court enter into written agreements with the utility districts detailing recordkeeping requirements for waste collections, monthly financial reports, and delinquent listings. When procedures are implemented to create a list of delinquent customers, the fiscal court should provide the listing to the county attorney for potential collection efforts and company with the solid waste franchise for potential termination of services, as necessary.

County Judge/Executive's Response: From January 2015 through June 30, 2020, over \$7.2 million has been paid to the fiscal court for garbage service. From the reports that we receive from the districts, it is our belief that \$564,848 is outstanding/delinquent as of June 30, 2020. From the reports that we do receive, this places the county at over a 90% collection rate. We realize we can't truly calculate the collection rate with the information we receive, but with the limited information we receive from the districts, we believe this to be in line with other collection services, and consider it to be a good collection rate and a tremendous improvement from several years ago when it was below 50%. The court has worked with the County Attorney and the districts to prepare a written agreement and enter into a contract with all districts for garbage collections. Some districts have signed and returned the agreement, while some have not. The court has also been working with the County's District Court in resolving delinquent matters and have been successful in the cases that have been presented to date.

The Harlan County Fiscal Court did not present all debt activity in the financial statement: This is a repeat finding and was included in the prior year audit report as Finding 2019-002. The Harlan County Fiscal Court's fourth quarter financial report did not include the financial activity for a loan for a truck that was later refinanced and an additional three trucks were also purchased

with the refinanced loan, causing the road fund to exceed the road line item and the debt service line item budget.

The Harlan County Fiscal Court had financing proceeds that went directly from the lessor to the vendor and were not reported on the financial statement. Since these transactions did not run through the fiscal court's bank accounts, they were not included in the fiscal court's budget process or reflected on the fiscal court's financial report. The fiscal court was not aware the proceeds needed to be reported.

Failure to include all debt activity on the financial statement caused the financial statement to be understated by \$583,400. After the financial statement was adjusted to properly account for the debt, the county's budget to actual statement presented as supplementary information accompanying the financial statement shows the road line in the road fund to be under budgeted by \$310,156 and the debt service line in the road fund to be under budgeted by 144,021.

The Department for Local Government's (DLG) County Budget Preparation and State Local Finance Officer Policy Manual provides requirements and guidance for county government's preparation and presentation of the financial statement and budget. Page 48 of the manual states, "[a]ll borrowed money received and repaid must be reflected in the county budget," page 72 of the manual states, "[a]ll county money is to be reported on the financial statement whether it is included in the budget or not," and page 74 of the manual states, "[a]ny borrowed money that is not reflected in the original budget estimate must be **amended** into the budget and be properly reflected on the financial report as a receipt as well as an "expenditure" for repayment of borrowed funds."

We recommend the Harlan County Fiscal Court present all financial activity in the county's financial statement as required by the regulatory basis of accounting. In addition to complying with DLG's financial statement presentation requirements, this will also ensure all line items are properly budgeted or amended as needed.

County Judge/Executive's Response: As stated, the county refinanced a loan to include four dump trucks that were purchased. The purpose of this transaction was to pay only interest (\$15,544.81 by the end of the 18 month lease term) for the use of these dump trucks. The trucks will later be sold to pay the principal payment and in essence, the county has use of 4 dump trucks valued at \$438,478 for 18 months while only paying 3.5% of actual purchase price for the trucks. This transaction allows the taxpayers of Harlan County both the county's use of new equipment, while also saving the taxpayer's dollars by purchasing the trucks at such a discounted rate. This transaction was not included in the budget because no funds were received by the Fiscal Court or County Treasurer, nor did the Fiscal Court or County Treasurer issue any payments associated with this refinance. All financial transactions occurred between the financial institution and vendor involved and no funds flowed through any county bank accounts, as the comment stated. The County did reflect in the debt section of the financial statements the new debt issued and the amount. The County did budget for the repayment of this agreement, which were the only transactions that have flowed through any county maintained bank accounts and the budget. Going forward, the county will include any debt as a budget line item to ensure they are included in the revenues and expenditures portion of the financial statement, even in instances like this one,

where no funds flow through the county treasury. This is a repeat comment because the court did not learn of this requirement until Fiscal Year 2021.

We have made a similar transaction this fiscal year, and took steps to amend our budget to include the proceeds along with the expenditures of this transaction and they will be presented in both the revenue and expenditure section of the financial statement going forward even though no funds were or will be received or expended through the county treasury.

The audit report can be found on the auditor's website.

###

The Auditor of Public Accounts ensures that public resources are protected, accurately valued, properly accounted for, and effectively employed to raise the quality of life of Kentuckians.

Call 1-800-KY-ALERT or visit our website to report suspected waste and abuse.









