# REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE CLARK COUNTY SHERIFF

For The Year Ended December 31, 2015



# MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS www.auditor.ky.gov

209 ST. CLAIR STREET FRANKFORT, KY 40601-1817 TELEPHONE (502) 564-5841 FACSIMILE (502) 564-2912

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

#### AUDIT OF THE CLARK COUNTY SHERIFF

## For The Year Ended December 31, 2015

The Auditor of Public Accounts has completed the Clark County Sheriff's audit for the year ended December 31, 2015. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement presents fairly, in all material respects, the receipts, disbursements, and excess fees in conformity with the regulatory basis of accounting.

#### **Financial Condition:**

Excess fees increased by \$10,507 from the prior year, resulting in excess fees of \$10,609 as of December 31, 2015. Receipts increased by \$72,954 from the prior year and disbursements increased by \$62,447.

#### Lease Obligations:

Lease principal agreements totaled \$4,564 as of December 31, 2015. Future principal and interest payments of \$4,564 are needed to meet these obligations.

#### **Deposits:**

The sheriff's deposits were insured and collateralized by bank securities.

# **CONTENTS**

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	.1
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS	.3
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT	.6
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND	
ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	.13



# MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

The Honorable Henry Branham, Clark County Judge/Executive The Honorable Berl Perdue, Jr., Clark County Sheriff Members of the Clark County Fiscal Court

# Independent Auditor's Report

# **Report on the Financial Statement**

We have audited the accompanying Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Excess Fees - Regulatory Basis of the Sheriff of Clark County, Kentucky, for the year ended December 31, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statement.

### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statement

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement in accordance with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the laws of Kentucky to demonstrate compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting as described in Note 1, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Management is also responsible for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statement based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the *Audit Guide for County Fee Officials* issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statement, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statement.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

The Honorable Henry Branham, Clark County Judge/Executive The Honorable Berl Perdue, Jr., Clark County Sheriff Members of the Clark County Fiscal Court

## Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

As described in Note 1 of the financial statement, the financial statement is prepared by the Clark County Sheriff on the basis of the accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the laws of Kentucky to demonstrate compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The effects on the financial statement of the variances between the regulatory basis of accounting described in Note 1 and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, although not reasonably determinable, are presumed to be material.

# Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

In our opinion, because of the significance of the matter discussed in the Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles paragraph, the financial statement referred to above does not present fairly, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of each fund of the Clark County Sheriff, as of December 31, 2015, or changes in financial position or cash flows thereof for the year then ended.

# **Opinion on Regulatory Basis of Accounting**

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the Clark County Sheriff for the year ended December 31, 2015, in accordance with the basis of accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the Commonwealth of Kentucky as described in Note 1.

# Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated October 7, 2016 on our consideration of the Clark County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Respectfully submitted,

Mike Harmon Auditor of Public Accounts

October 7, 2016

# CLARK COUNTY BERL PERDUE, JR., SHERIFF STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS

# For The Year Ended December 31, 2015

Receipts				
Federal Grants			\$	36,977
State - Kentucky Law Enforcement Foundation Program Fund (KLEFF	PF)			47,529
State Fees For Services:	¢	100 570		
Finance and Administration Cabinet	\$	108,578		
Sheriff Security Service		9,663		110 701
Cabinet For Health And Family Services		460		118,701
Circuit Court Clerk:				
Fines/Fees Collected		2,524		
Court Ordered Payments		12,291		14,815
Fiscal Court				150,379
County Clerk - Delinquent Taxes				35,283
Commission On Taxes Collected				778,236
Fees Collected For Services:				
Auto Inspections		11,524		
Serving Papers		40,093		
Carrying Concealed Deadly Weapon Permits		18,280		69,897
Other:				
Add-On Fees		56,718		
Miscellaneous		841		57,559
Interest Earned				1,217
Borrowed Money:				
State Advancement				300,000
Total Receipts			1	,610,593

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial statement.

CLARK COUNTY BERL PERDUE, JR., SHERIFF STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS For The Year Ended December 31, 2015 (Continued)

#### **Disbursements**

Operating Disbursements and Capital Outlay:		
Personnel Services-		
Deputies' Salaries	\$ 819,681	
Employee Benefits-		
Employer's Share Social Security	63,309	
Employer's Share Hazardous Duty Retirement	11,633	
Contracted Services-		
Computer & Payroll Services	25,883	
Vehicle Maintenance and Repairs	24,086	
Telephone & Telecommunications	6,621	
Materials and Supplies-		
Office Materials and Supplies	14,859	
Uniforms	17,731	
Auto Expense-		
Gasoline	46,603	
Other Charges-		
Court Ordered Payments	11,462	
Conventions and Travel	2,089	
Dues	4,180	
Postage	9,912	
CCDW	2,200	
Training & Travel Expense	2,282	
Fiscal Court Filing Fees	8,010	
Prisoner Transport	85	
Miscellaneous	5,054	
Capital Outlay-		
Office Equipment	18,550	
Vehicles	 107,239	\$ 1,201,469
Debt Service:		
State Advancement		300,000
Total Disbursements		

Page 4

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial statement.

\$ 1,501,469

# CLARK COUNTY BERL PERDUE, JR., SHERIFF STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS For The Year Ended December 31, 2015 (Continued)

Disbursements (Continued)

Net Receipts	\$ 109,124
Less: Statutory Maximum	94,574
Excess Fees	14,550
Less: Training Incentive Benefit	3,941
Excess Fees Due County for 2015	10,609
Payment to Fiscal Court - March 7, 2016	11,454
Balance Due From Fiscal Court at Completion of Audit *	\$ (845)

\* - The fiscal court returned \$845 to the sheriff on June 22, 2016.

## CLARK COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

#### December 31, 2015

#### Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### A. Fund Accounting

A fee official uses a fund to report on the results of operations. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a selfbalancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

A fee official uses a fund for fees to account for activities for which the government desires periodic determination of the excess of receipts over disbursements to facilitate management control, accountability, and compliance with laws.

#### B. Basis of Accounting

KRS 64.820 directs the fiscal court to collect any amount, including excess fees, due from the sheriff as determined by the audit. KRS 134.192 requires the sheriff to settle excess fees with the fiscal court at the time he files his annual settlement with the fiscal court on or before September 1 of each year. KRS 64.830 requires an outgoing sheriff to settle excess fees with the fiscal court of his county by March 15 immediately following the expiration of his term of office.

The financial statement has been prepared on a regulatory basis of accounting, which demonstrates compliance with the laws of Kentucky and is a special purpose framework. Under this regulatory basis of accounting receipts and disbursements are generally recognized when cash is received or disbursed with the exception of accrual of the following items (not all-inclusive) at December 31 that may be included in the excess fees calculation:

- Interest receivable
- Collection on accounts due from others for 2015 services
- Reimbursements for 2015 activities
- Tax commissions due from December tax collections
- Payments due other governmental entities for payroll
- Payments due vendors for goods or services provided in 2015

The measurement focus of a fee official is upon excess fees. Remittance of excess fees is due to the county treasurer in the subsequent year.

### C. Cash and Investments

KRS 66.480 authorizes the sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

CLARK COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT December 31, 2015 (Continued)

Note 2. Employee Retirement System and Other Post-Employment Benefits

The county official and employees have elected to participate in the County Employees Retirement System (CERS), pursuant to KRS 78.530 administered by the Board of Trustees of the Kentucky Retirement Systems (KRS). This is a cost sharing, multiple employer defined benefit pension plan, which covers all eligible full-time employees and provides for retirement, disability and death benefits to plan members. Benefit contributions and provisions are established by statute.

Nonhazardous covered employees are required to contribute five percent of their salary to the plan. Nonhazardous covered employees who begin participation on or after September 1, 2008 are required to contribute six percent of their salary to the plan. The county's contribution rate for nonhazardous employees was 17.67 percent for the first six months and 17.06 percent for the last six months.

Hazardous covered employees are required to contribute eight percent of their salary to the plan. Hazardous covered employees who begin participation on or after September 1, 2008 are required to contribute nine percent of their salary to be allocated as follows: eight percent will go to the member's account and one percent will go to the KRS insurance fund. The county's contribution rate for hazardous employees was 34.31 percent for the first six months and 32.95 percent for the last six months.

In accordance with Senate Bill 2, signed by the Governor on April 4, 2014, plan members who began participating on, or after, January 1, 2014, were required to contribute to the Cash Balance Plan. The Cash Balance Plan is known as a hybrid plan because it has characteristics of both a defined benefit plan and a defined contribution plan. Members in the plan contribute a set percentage of their salary each month to their own accounts. Members contribute five percent (nonhazardous) and eight percent (hazardous) of their annual creditable compensation and one percent to the health insurance fund which is not credited to the member's account and is not refundable. The employer contribution rate is set annually by the Board based on an actuarial valuation. The employer contributes a set percentage of the member's account. A member's account is credited with a four percent (nonhazardous) and seven and one-half percent (hazardous) employer pay credit. The employer pay credit represents a portion of the employer contribution.

The sheriff's contribution for the KLEFPF portion of retirement for calendar year 2013 was \$12,953, calendar year 2014 was \$11,583, and calendar year 2015 was \$11,633.

Benefits fully vest on reaching five years of service for nonhazardous employees. Aspects of benefits for nonhazardous employees include retirement after 27 years of service or age 65. Nonhazardous employees who begin participation on or after September 1, 2008 must meet the rule of 87 (member's age plus years of service credit must equal 87, and the member must be a minimum of 57 years of age) or the member is age 65, with a minimum of 60 months service credit.

Aspects of benefits for hazardous employees include retirement after 20 years of service or age 55. For hazardous employees who begin participation on or after September 1, 2008 aspects of benefits include retirement after 25 years of service or the member is age 60, with a minimum of 60 months of service credit.

Note 2. Employee Retirement System and Other Post-Employment Benefits (Continued)

CERS also provides post-retirement health care coverage as follows:

For members participating prior to July 1, 2003, years of service and respective percentages of the maximum contribution are as follows:

X CO		% Paid by Member through
Years of Service	% Paid by Insurance Fund	Payroll Deduction
20 or more	100%	0%
15-19	75%	25%
10-14	50%	50%
4-9	25%	75%
Less than 4	0%	100%

As a result of House Bill 290 (2004 General Assembly), medical insurance benefits are calculated differently for members who began participation on or after July 1, 2003. Once members reach a minimum vesting period of ten years, non-hazardous employees whose participation began on or after July 1, 2003, earn ten dollars per month for insurance benefits at retirement for every year of earned service without regard to a maximum dollar amount. This dollar amount is subject to adjustment annually based on the retiree cost of living adjustment, which is updated annually due to changes in the Consumer Price Index.

Hazardous employees whose participation began on or after July 1, 2003, earn 15 dollars per month for insurance benefits at retirement for every year of earned service without regard to a maximum dollar amount. Upon the death of a hazardous employee, such employee's spouse receives ten dollars per month for insurance benefits for each year of the deceased employee's hazardous service. This dollar amount is subject to adjustment annually based on the retiree cost of living adjustment, which is updated annually due to changes in the Consumer Price Index.

KRS issues a publicly available annual financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information on CERS. This report may be obtained by writing the Kentucky Retirement Systems, 1260 Louisville Road, Frankfort, KY 40601-6124, or by telephone at (502) 564-4646.

### Note 3. Deposits

The Clark County Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) as required by KRS 66.480(1)(d). According to KRS 41.240, the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution.

CLARK COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT December 31, 2015 (Continued)

#### Note 3. Deposits (Continued)

#### Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a depository institution failure, the sheriff's deposits may not be returned. The Clark County Sheriff does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk but rather follows the requirements of KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240. As of December 31, 2015, all deposits were covered by FDIC insurance or a properly executed collateral security agreement.

#### Note 4. Special Accounts

#### A. Interdiction Account

The Clark County Sheriff's office maintains an interdiction account. This account is maintained for the purpose of receiving funds surrendered as a result of drug related cases. The funds are to be expended for law enforcement purposes and can be restricted by court order. The balance on January 1, 2015 was \$10,519. During 2015, \$13,275 was received and \$13,115 was expended, leaving a balance of \$10,679 as of December 31, 2015.

#### B. DARE Account

The Clark County Sheriff's office maintains a DARE account for the receipt of DARE program funds. The funds are to be expended for DARE program activities. The balance on January 1, 2015 was \$2,886. During 2015, \$4,545 was received and \$6,662 was expended, leaving a balance of \$769 as of December 31, 2015.

#### C. Federal Forfeiture Account

The Clark County Sheriff's office maintains a federal forfeiture account. This account is maintained for the purpose of receiving funds surrendered as a result of drug related cases. The funds are to be expended for law enforcement purposes and can be restricted by court order. The balance on January 1, 2015 was \$10,683. During 2015, \$23,453 was received and \$4,100 was expended, leaving a balance of \$30,036 as of December 31, 2015.

#### D. Drug Enforcement Agency Account

The Clark County Sheriff's office maintains a drug enforcement agency account. This account is maintained for the purpose of receiving federal funds for the reimbursement of payroll expenses involved in drug related cases. The balance on January 1, 2015 was \$0. During 2015, \$6,023 was received and \$6,023 in expenditures, leaving a balance of \$0 as of December 31, 2015.

Note 5. Lease Agreements

A. The Clark County Sheriff's office was committed to a lease agreement for hardware, software, and website maintenance. The agreement requires an annual payment of \$14,750 that is renewable each year.

Note 5. Lease Agreements (Continued)

B. The Clark County Sheriff's office committed to a lease agreement for a copier on September 17, 2014. The lease agreement requires 4 annual payments of \$2,282. The balance was \$4,564 as of December 31, 2015.

Note 6. Federal Grants

A. Highway Safety Grant

The sheriff received a federal grant that passed through from the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet's (KTYC) Office of Highway Safety. Funds totaling \$5,954 were received and expended during the year.

B. Drug Enforcement Special Investigations Grant

The sheriff received a federal grant through Kentucky State Police (KSP) for drug investigations. Funds totaling \$6,023 were received and expended during the year.

C. Drug Enforcement/Special Investigations East Grant

The sheriff received a federal grant through Kentucky State Police (KSP) for drug investigations. Funds totaling \$25,000 were received and expended during the year.

## REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*



# MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

The Honorable Henry Branham, Clark County Judge/Executive The Honorable Berl Perdue, Jr., Clark County Sheriff Members of the Clark County Fiscal Court

> Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

# Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Excess Fees - Regulatory Basis of the Clark County Sheriff for the year ended December 31, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statement and have issued our report thereon dated October 7, 2016. The Clark County Sheriff's financial statement is prepared on a regulatory basis of accounting, which demonstrates compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting and budget laws, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## **Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statement, we considered the Clark County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Clark County Sheriff's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Clark County Sheriff's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards* (Continued)

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Clark County Sheriff's financial statement is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

Mike Harmon Auditor of Public Accounts

October 7, 2016