REPORT OF THE AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES ENGAGEMENT OF THE FORMER CHRISTIAN COUNTY SHERIFF

For The Period January 1, 2018 Through December 31, 2018



MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS www.auditor.ky.gov

209 SAINT CLAIR STREET FRANKFORT, KY 40601-1817 TELEPHONE (502) 564-5841 FACSIMILE (502) 564-2912



MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

SUMMARY OF PROCEDURES AND FINDINGS

AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES OF THE FORMER CHRISTIAN COUNTY SHERIFF

For The Period January 1, 2018 Through December 31, 2018

The former Christian County Sheriff applied for and received approval from the Auditor of Public Accounts (APA) to obtain an Agreed-Upon Procedures (AUP) engagement for calendar year 2018 in accordance with KRS 43.070(1)(c). AUP reports present the procedures performed and the results of those procedures, called findings. The summary below presents those findings for which an exception was identified during the AUP engagement.

During the AUP engagement of the former Christian County Sheriff, the following exceptions were noted:

- The former sheriff's fourth quarter financial statement did not agree to the former sheriff's receipts ledger. Receipts varied by \$945,153. The former bookkeeper failed to include on her receipts ledger \$1,045,000 received from fiscal court in December 2018. Additionally, the former bookkeeper failed to include year-end receipts of \$99,847 on the fourth quarter financial statement.
- Amounts reported on the annual asset forfeiture report did not agree to amounts deposited. The amounts deposited were more than the amounts reported on the annual asset forfeiture report by \$1,493.
- Two credit card disbursements were not properly supported with itemized invoices and \$89 was paid in sales tax on credit card purchases.

Findings related to procedures for which there were no exceptions identified, and official responses to exceptions are not included in the summary above, but can be found in the full report. An electronic copy of the report is available on the Auditor of Public Accounts' website at <u>www.auditor.ky.gov</u>.

Respectfully,

Mike Harmon Auditor of Public Accounts June 10, 2019

209 St. Clair Street Frankfort, KY 40601-1817 TELEPHONE 502.564.5841 Facsimile 502.564.2912 www.auditor.ky.gov

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER M/F/D

THIS PAGE LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY



MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

Independent Accountant's Report On Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

The Honorable Steve Tribble, Christian County Judge/Executive The Honorable Lewis "Livy" Leavell, Jr., Former Christian County Sheriff The Honorable Tyler DeArmond, Christian County Sheriff Members of the Christian County Fiscal Court

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the former Christian County Sheriff, on receipts and disbursements, excess fees, recordkeeping, and leases, contracts, and liabilities for the period January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018. The former Christian County Sheriff was responsible for the accountability for receipts and disbursements, excess fees, recordkeeping, and leases, contracts, and liabilities. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures enumerated below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

Our procedures and findings are as follows:

1. Procedure -

Determine if the sheriff has a fourth quarter financial statement, a receipts ledger, and a disbursements ledger.

Finding -

No exceptions were found as a result of applying the procedure.

2. Procedure -

Determine that the sheriff's fourth quarter financial statement agrees to the sheriff's receipts ledger and disbursements ledger. Variances in total revenues or total disbursements that exceed 1% of gross revenues or exceed \$20,000 will be reported.

Finding -

The former sheriff's fourth quarter financial statement did not agree to the former sheriff's receipts ledgers. Receipts varied by \$945,153. The former bookkeeper failed to include on her receipts ledger \$1,045,000 received from fiscal court in December 2018. Additionally, the former bookkeeper failed to include year-end receipts of \$99,847 on the fourth quarter financial statement.

Former Sheriff's Response: The amount was included in the year end quarterly report to the State. However it was left off the monthly ledger. The year-end receipts were received after closing.

209 ST. CLAIR STREET FRANKFORT, KY 40601-1817

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER M/F/D

£}

3. Procedure -

Compare the sheriff's operating disbursements (Total disbursements per EMARS 2550 Reconciliation) to the general term order approved by fiscal court or other approving authority to see if the sheriff overspent the general term order.

Finding -

No exceptions were found as a result of applying the procedure.

4. Procedure -

Determine if the sheriff has reconciled the 75% account to the EMARS 2550 report.

Finding -

No exceptions were found as a result of applying the procedure. The ending reconciled balance as of December 31, 2018 is \$153,962.

5. Procedure -

Obtain a list of accounts prepared by the sheriff that are applicable to the current calendar year.

Finding -

No exceptions were found as a result of applying the procedure. The former sheriff maintained a fee, imprest, drug, donation, equitable sharing, and transaction accounts.

6. Procedure -

Determine if the sheriff reconciles all bank accounts monthly. Re-perform the bank reconciliations for all accounts as of December 31, 2018, to determine what the reconciled ending balances are and if bank reconciliations are accurate. Determine if any additional funds are due to the state repository for 75% or 25% accounts.

Finding -

No exceptions were found as a result of applying the procedure. The balances of the former sheriff's accounts are:

| Account Name: | Reconciled Account Balance: | |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Fee Account | \$ 0 | |
| Imprest Account | \$ 5,047 | |
| Drug Account | \$ 2,365 | |
| Donation Account | \$ 2,551 | |
| Equitable Sharing Account | \$ 0 | |
| Transaction Account | \$ 1 | |

7. Procedure -

Determine if receipts are properly being accounted for by comparing batched receipts to daily check-out sheets, deposit tickets, receipts ledger, and bank statements for a randomly selected week.

Finding -

No exceptions were found as a result of applying the procedure.

8. Procedure -

Determine if the sheriff completed an annual asset forfeiture report. Determine if assets forfeited to the sheriff, are properly accounted for by tracing the asset to bank deposit, receipts ledger, or asset ledger and determine if amounts due to commonwealth attorney were remitted.

Finding -

The former sheriff prepared an annual asset forfeiture report. However, the amounts on the annual asset forfeiture report did not agree to amounts deposited. The amounts deposited were more than the amounts reported on the annual asset forfeiture report by \$1,493. Amounts owed to commonwealth attorney were remitted.

9. Procedure -

Determine if tax commissions are properly accounted for by computing the sheriff's current calendar year tax commission from prior year taxes and current year taxes using the prior year sheriff tax settlement and current year recap of tax reports. Variances that exceed 1% of gross revenues or exceed \$20,000 will be reported.

Finding -

No exceptions were found as a result of applying the procedure.

10. Procedure -

Judgmentally select 15 operating disbursements from sheriff's records and agree amounts to paid invoices or other supporting documentation and cancelled checks. Determine if the disbursement is for official business. Inspect all credit card statements (if any) to determine if disbursements are for official business.

Finding -

The selected disbursements agreed to paid invoices or other supporting documentation and cancelled checks. Disbursements were for official business. However, two credit card disbursements were not properly supported with itemized invoices and \$89 was paid in sales tax on credit card purchases.

11. Procedure -

Judgmentally select one month's Imprest Cash voucher and review the attached receipts to determine if disbursements are for official business. Verify Imprest Cash Vouchers are submitted monthly and agree to amounts reimbursed by the 75% account.

Finding -

No exceptions were found as a result of applying the procedure.

12. Procedure -

Verify that the sheriff's maximum salary order for deputies was not overspent.

Finding -

No exceptions were found as a result of applying the procedure.

13. Procedure -

Determine whether payroll charges are properly supported by verifying that timesheets are completed, maintained, approved, and support hours paid by inspecting one pay period's timesheets for all employees.

Finding -

No exceptions were found as a result of applying the procedure.

```
14. Procedure -
```

Determine that the sheriff was paid the statutory maximum.

Finding -

The former sheriff was paid \$111,702. The statutory maximum salary was \$111,702.

15. Procedure -

Scan lease agreements and service contracts and compare to actual payments. Determine if services received were appropriate, for official business, and properly authorized. Determine if liabilities requiring disclosure were properly disclosed on the fourth quarter financial statement.

Finding -

No exceptions were found as a result of applying the procedure.

16. Procedure -

Verify the sheriff is properly bonded.

Finding -

No exceptions were found as a result of applying the procedure.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination or review, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the receipts and disbursements, excess fees, recordkeeping, and leases, contracts, and liabilities of the former Christian County Sheriff. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the former Christian County Sheriff and the Christian County Fiscal Court, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified parties.

Respectfully submitted,

Mike Harmon Auditor of Public Accounts

June 10, 2019