REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE FORMER CARLISLE COUNTY SHERIFF

For The Year Ended December 31, 2017



MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

www.auditor.ky.gov

209 ST. CLAIR STREET FRANKFORT, KY 40601-1817 TELEPHONE (502) 564-5841 FACSIMILE (502) 564-2912

<u>CONTENTS</u> PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS	3
Notes To Financial Statement	6
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	11
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES	15





MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

The Honorable Greg Terry, Carlisle County Judge/Executive The Honorable Steve Perry, Former Carlisle County Sheriff The Honorable Will Gilbert, Carlisle County Sheriff Members of the Carlisle County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

Report on the Financial Statement

We have audited the accompanying Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Excess Fees - Regulatory Basis of the former Sheriff of Carlisle County, Kentucky, for the year ended December 31, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statement.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statement

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of this financial statement in accordance with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the laws of Kentucky to demonstrate compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting and budget laws. Management is also responsible for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of a financial statement that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statement based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the *Audit Guide for County Fee Officials* issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statement, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statement.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.



WWW.AUDITOR.KY.GOV

The Honorable Greg Terry, Carlisle County Judge/Executive The Honorable Steve Perry, Former Carlisle County Sheriff The Honorable Will Gilbert, Carlisle County Sheriff Members of the Carlisle County Fiscal Court

Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

As described in Note 1 of the financial statement, the financial statement was prepared by the former Carlisle County Sheriff on the basis of the accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the laws of Kentucky to demonstrate compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The effects on the financial statement of the variances between the regulatory basis of accounting described in Note 1 and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, although not reasonably determinable, are presumed to be material.

Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

In our opinion, because of the significance of the matter discussed in the Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles paragraph, the financial statement referred to above does not present fairly, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of each fund of the former Carlisle County Sheriff, as of December 31, 2017, or changes in financial position or cash flows thereof for the year then ended.

Opinion on Regulatory Basis of Accounting

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the former Carlisle County Sheriff for the year ended December 31, 2017, in accordance with the basis of accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the Commonwealth of Kentucky as described in Note 1.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated January 3, 2019, on our consideration of the former Carlisle County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, grant agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the former Carlisle County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Based on the results of our audit, we have presented the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses, included herein, which discusses the following report comments:

2017-001 The Former Carlisle County Sheriff Had \$330 Of Disallowed Disbursements From The 2017 Fee

Account

2017-002 The Carlisle County Sheriff's Office Lacked Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Respectfully submitted,

Mike Harmon

Auditor of Public Accounts

CARLISLE COUNTY STEVE PERRY, FORMER SHERIFF STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS

For The Year Ended December 31, 2017

Receipts

State - Kentucky Law Enforcement Foundation Program Fund (KLEFPF)		\$ 4,756
State Fees For Services: Finance and Administration Cabinet Sheriff Security Service	\$ 4,688 50	4,738
Circuit Court Clerk: Fines and Fees Collected		540
Fiscal Court		83,954
County Clerk - Delinquent Taxes		2,252
Commission On Taxes Collected		102,026
Fees Collected For Services: Auto Inspections Accident and Police Reports Serving Papers Carry Concealed Deadly Weapon Permits Prisoner Transport Drug Testing Fees	1,615 134 5,422 2,100 1,301 170	10,742
Other: Add-On Fees Miscellaneous	16,932 1,492	18,424
Interest Earned		73
Borrowed Money: State Advancement		40,000
Total Receipts		267,505

CARLISLE COUNTY STEVE PERRY, FORMER SHERIFF STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS For The Year Ended December 31, 2017 (Continued)

Disbursements

Operating Disbursements and Capital Outlay:			
Personnel Services-			
Deputies' Salaries	\$ 52,981		
Part-Time Salaries	7,385		
KLEFPF	4,000		
Employee Benefits-			
Employer's Share KLEFPF Retirement	754		
Contracted Services-			
Advertising	133		
Mobile Law Enforcement Software	225		
Data Backup	319		
Materials and Supplies-			
Office Materials and Supplies	7,634		
Uniforms	755		
Other Charges-			
Conventions and Travel	929		
Dues	1,054		
Postage	24		
Cell Phone	2,028		
Vehicle Expense	17,409		
Miscellaneous	1,112		
Jury Meals	47		
Prisoner Transport	714		
In-Service Training	938		
Capital Outlay-			
Law Enforcement Equipment	10,153		
Office Equipment	 1,020	\$ 109,614	
Debt Service:			
State Advancement		 40,000	
Total Disbursements			\$ 149,614
Less: Unsupported Disbursements		300	
Cash Withdrawal Fees		30	
Total Disallowed Disbursements			 330
Total Allowable Disbursements			149,284

CARLISLE COUNTY

STEVE PERRY, FORMER SHERIFF

STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS

For The Year Ended December 31, 2017

(Continued)

Net Receipts Less: Statutory Maximum	\$ 118,221
Excess Fees	39,219
Less: Training Incentive Benefit	4,052
Excess Fees Due County for 2017	35,167
Payment to Fiscal Court - February 14, 2018	30,000
Balance Due Fiscal Court at Completion of Audit	\$ 5,167

^{* -} The sheriff presented a check to the fiscal court in the amount of \$4,837 for excess fees on April 10, 2018.

CARLISLE COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

December 31, 2017

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

A fee official uses a fund to report on the results of operations. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

A fee official uses a fund for fees to account for activities for which the government desires periodic determination of the excess of receipts over disbursements to facilitate management control, accountability, and compliance with laws.

B. Basis of Accounting

KRS 64.820 directs the fiscal court to collect any amount, including excess fees, due from the sheriff as determined by the audit. KRS 134.192 requires the sheriff to settle excess fees with the fiscal court at the time he files his annual settlement with the fiscal court on or before September 1 of each year. KRS 64.830 requires an outgoing sheriff to settle excess fees with the fiscal court of his county by March 15 immediately following the expiration of his term of office.

The financial statement has been prepared on a regulatory basis of accounting, which demonstrates compliance with the laws of Kentucky and is a special purpose framework. Under this regulatory basis of accounting, receipts and disbursements are generally recognized when cash is received or disbursed, with the exception of accrual of the following items (not all-inclusive) at December 31 that may be included in the excess fees calculation:

- Interest receivable
- Collection on accounts due from others for 2017 services
- Reimbursements for 2017 activities
- Tax commissions due from December tax collections
- Payments due other governmental entities for payroll
- Payments due vendors for goods or services provided in 2017

The measurement focus of a fee official is upon excess fees. Remittance of excess fees is due to the county treasurer in the subsequent year.

C. Cash and Investments

KRS 66.480 authorizes the sheriff's office to invest in obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

Note 2. Employee Retirement System and Other Post-Employment Benefits

The county official and employees have elected to participate, pursuant to KRS 78.530, in the County Employees Retirement System (CERS), which is administered by the Board of Trustees of the Kentucky Retirement Systems (KRS). This is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer, defined benefit pension plan, which covers all eligible full-time employees and provides for retirement, disability, and death benefits to plan members. Benefit contributions and provisions are established by statute.

CARLISLE COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT December 31, 2017 (Continued)

Note 2. Employee Retirement System and Other Post-Employment Benefits (Continued)

The former sheriff's contribution for the KLEFPF portion of retirement for calendar year 2015 was \$540, calendar year 2016 was \$620, and calendar year 2017 was \$754.

Nonhazardous

Nonhazardous covered employees are required to contribute five percent of their salary to the plan. Nonhazardous covered employees who begin participation on or after September 1, 2008, are required to contribute six percent of their salary to be allocated as follows: five percent will go to the member's account and one percent will go to the KRS insurance fund.

In accordance with Senate Bill 2, signed by the Governor on April 4, 2013, plan members who began participating on, or after, January 1, 2014, were required to contribute to the Cash Balance Plan. The Cash Balance Plan is known as a hybrid plan because it has characteristics of both a defined benefit plan and a defined contribution plan. Members in the plan contribute a set percentage of their salary each month to their own accounts. Nonhazardous members contribute five percent of their annual creditable compensation and one percent to the health insurance fund which is not credited to the member's account and is not refundable. The employer contribution rate is set annually by the Board based on an actuarial valuation. The employer contributes a set percentage of the member's salary. Each month, when employer contributions are received, an employer pay credit is deposited to the member's account. A member's account is credited with a four percent employer pay credit. The employer pay credit represents a portion of the employer contribution.

Benefits fully vest on reaching five years of service for nonhazardous employees. Aspects of benefits for nonhazardous employees include retirement after 27 years of service or age 65. Nonhazardous employees who begin participation on or after September 1, 2008, must meet the rule of 87 (member's age plus years of service credit must equal 87, and the member must be a minimum of 57 years of age) or the member is age 65, with a minimum of 60 months service credit.

The county's contribution rate for nonhazardous employees was 18.68 percent for the first six months and 19.18 percent for the last six months.

Health Insurance Coverage

CERS also provides post-retirement health care coverage as follows:

For members participating prior to July 1, 2003, years of service and respective percentages of the maximum contribution are as follows:

Years of Service	% Paid by Insurance Fund	% Paid by Member through Payroll Deduction
20 or more	100%	0%
15-19	75%	25%
10-14	50%	50%
4-9	25%	75%
Less than 4	0%	100%

CARLISLE COUNTY
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT
December 31, 2017
(Continued)

Note 2. Employee Retirement System and Other Post-Employment Benefits (Continued)

Health Insurance Coverage (Continued)

As a result of House Bill 290 (2004 General Assembly), medical insurance benefits are calculated differently for members who began participation on or after July 1, 2003. Once members reach a minimum vesting period of ten years, non-hazardous employees whose participation began on or after July 1, 2003, earn ten dollars per month for insurance benefits at retirement for every year of earned service without regard to a maximum dollar amount. This dollar amount is subject to adjustment annually based on the retiree cost of living adjustment, which is updated annually due to changes in the Consumer Price Index.

KRS issues a publicly available annual financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information on CERS. This report may be obtained by writing the Kentucky Retirement Systems, 1260 Louisville Road, Frankfort, KY 40601-6124, or by telephone at (502) 564-4646.

Note 3. Deposits

The former Carlisle County Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) as required by KRS 66.480(1)(d). According to KRS 41.240, the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution.

Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a depository institution failure, the formers sheriff's deposits may not be returned. The former Carlisle County Sheriff did not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk but rather followed the requirements of KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240. As of December 31, 2017, all deposits were covered by FDIC insurance or a properly executed collateral security agreement.

Note 4. Drug Fund

The former Carlisle County Sheriff's office maintained a drug fund. Receipts result from the forfeiture of money and property awarded in drug cases. The funds are spent for supplies, equipment, vehicle repairs, and drug awareness programs. The balance as of January 1, 2017, was \$433. During 2017, receipts totaled \$625 and disbursements totaled \$420, leaving a balance of \$638, as of December 31, 2017.

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS





MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

The Honorable Greg Terry, Carlisle County Judge/Executive The Honorable Steve Perry, Former Carlisle County Sheriff The Honorable Will Gilbert, Carlisle County Sheriff Members of the Carlisle County Fiscal Court

> Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Excess Fees - Regulatory Basis of the former Carlisle County Sheriff for the year ended December 31, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statement and have issued our report thereon dated January 3, 2019. The former Carlisle County Sheriff's financial statement is prepared on a regulatory basis of accounting, which demonstrates compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting and budget laws, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statement, we considered the former Carlisle County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the former Carlisle County Sheriff's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the former Carlisle County Sheriff's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control that we consider to be a material weakness.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses as item 2017-002 to be a material weakness.



Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards* (Continued)

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the former Carlisle County Sheriff's financial statement is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed an instance of noncompliance or other matter that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and which is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses as item 2017-001.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

Mike Harmon

Auditor of Public Accounts

January 3, 2019





CARLISLE COUNTY STEVE PERRY, FORMER SHERIFF SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES

For The Year Ended December 31, 2017

STATE LAWS AND REGULATIONS:

2017-001 The Former Carlisle County Sheriff Had \$330 Of Disallowed Disbursements From The 2017 Fee Account

The former Carlisle County Sheriff had \$330 of disallowed disbursements from the 2017 fee account. Of this amount, \$300 was for payments to informants on drug cases. These are being disallowed due to a lack of adequate supporting documentation. The remaining \$30 was for cash withdrawal fees on cash advances. These fees were disallowed because they were not beneficial to the public.

According to the former sheriff, he was lax throughout the year with recordkeeping in regards to transactions associated with drug buys. Furthermore, he was unaware of the standardized forms approved by the Kentucky Sheriff's Association.

Because the payments to informants and withdrawal fees do not meet all of the criteria of <u>Funk v. Milliken</u>, they have been disallowed. In accordance with <u>Funk v. Milliken</u>, 317 S.W.2d 499 (Ky. 1958), Kentucky's highest court ruled that county fee officials' expenditures of public funds will be allowed only if they are necessary, adequately documented, reasonable in amount, beneficial to the public, and not primarily personal in nature.

We recommend the former sheriff repay \$330 to the fiscal court for the additional excess fees associated with these disallowed disbursements.

Former Sheriff's Response: The former sheriff did not provide a response.

INTERNAL CONTROL - MATERIAL WEAKNESS:

2017-002 The Carlisle County Sheriff's Office Lacked Adequate Segregation Of Duties

The Carlisle County Sheriff's office lacked adequate segregation of duties. The bookkeeper was required to perform multiple accounting functions such as performing daily checkout procedures, daily deposits, posting to the ledgers, performing bank reconciliations, and collecting cash from customers. While the former sheriff had implemented compensating controls to offset the risks associated with a lack of segregation of duties, these controls have been deemed ineffective.

According to office staff, the lack of segregation of duties was caused by the diversity of operations with a limited number of staff. A lack of segregation of duties or strong oversight increases the risk of undetected misappropriation of assets or inaccurate financial reporting.

Segregation of duties or the implementation of compensating controls, when limited by the number of staff, is essential for providing protection against the misappropriation of assets and inaccurate financial reporting. Additionally, proper segregation of duties protects employees in the normal course of performing their daily responsibilities. To adequately protect against the misappropriation of assets and financial reporting, the former sheriff should have segregated the duties noted above to the extent allowed by budget restrictions. For those duties that could not be segregated due to a limited number staff, strong management oversight by the former sheriff or designee could have been a cost effective alternative. This oversight should include a thorough review of monthly bank reconciliations, daily checkout sheets, and the receipts and disbursements ledgers. Documentation, such as the former sheriff's or designee's initials or signature, could have been provided on those items that were reviewed.

CARLISLE COUNTY STEVE PERRY, FORMER SHERIFF SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES For The Year Ended December 31, 2017 (Continued)

<u>INTERNAL CONTROL - MATERIAL WEAKNESS:</u> (Continued)

2017-002 The Carlisle County Sheriff's Office Lacked Adequate Segregation Of Duties (Continued)

We recommend the Carlisle County Sheriff's office segregate duties.

Former Sheriff's Response: The former sheriff did not provide a response.