# REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE FORMER BATH COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT – 2018 TAXES

For The Period April 17, 2018 Through January 6, 2019



# MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

www.auditor.ky.gov

209 ST. CLAIR STREET FRANKFORT, KY 40601-1817 TELEPHONE 502.564.5841 FACSIMILE 502.564.2912

<u>CONTENTS</u> PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	. 1
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2018 TAXES	.4
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT	.5
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND	
ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	.9
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES	. 13





# MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
The Honorable Andy Beshear, Governor
Holly M. Johnson, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
The Honorable Bobby C. Rogers, Bath County Judge/Executive
The Honorable John "Tuffy" Snedegar, Former Bath County Sheriff
The Honorable Jessie Stewart, Bath County Sheriff
Members of the Bath County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

# **Report on the Financial Statement**

We have audited the former Bath County Sheriff's Settlement - 2018 Taxes for the period April 17, 2018 through January 6, 2019 - Regulatory Basis, and the related notes to the financial statement.

# **Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statement**

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement in accordance with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the laws of Kentucky to demonstrate compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting as described in Note 1. Management is also responsible for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of a financial statement that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### **Auditor's Responsibility**

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statement based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the *Audit Guide for Sheriff's Tax Settlements*, issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statement, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statement.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.



To the People of Kentucky
The Honorable Andy Beshear, Governor
Holly M. Johnson, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
The Honorable Bobby C. Rogers, Bath County Judge/Executive
The Honorable John "Tuffy" Snedegar, Former Bath County Sheriff
The Honorable Jessie Stewart, Bath County Sheriff
Members of the Bath County Fiscal Court

#### Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

As described in Note 1 of the financial statement, the financial statement is prepared by the former Bath County Sheriff on the basis of accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the laws of Kentucky to demonstrate compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The effects on the financial statement of the variances between the regulatory basis of accounting described in Note 1 and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, although not reasonably determinable, are presumed to be material.

# Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

In our opinion, because of the significance of the matter discussed in the Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles paragraph, the financial statement referred to above does not present fairly, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the taxes charged, credited, and paid of the former Bath County Sheriff, for the period April 17, 2018 through January 6, 2019.

# **Opinion on Regulatory Basis of Accounting**

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the taxes charged, credited, and paid for the period April 17, 2018 through January 6, 2019 of the former Bath County Sheriff, in accordance with the basis of accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the Commonwealth of Kentucky as described in Note 1.

#### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated November 20, 2019, on our consideration of the former Bath County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the former Bath County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

To the People of Kentucky
The Honorable Andy Beshear, Governor
Holly M. Johnson, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
The Honorable Bobby C. Rogers, Bath County Judge/Executive
The Honorable John "Tuffy" Snedegar, Former Bath County Sheriff
The Honorable Jessie Stewart, Bath County Sheriff
Members of the Bath County Fiscal Court

# Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

Based on the results of our audit, we have presented the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses, included herein, which discusses the following report comment.

2018-001 The Former Bath County Sheriff's Tax Settlement Was Materially Misstated

Respectfully submitted,

Mike Harmon

**Auditor of Public Accounts** 

November 20, 2019

# BATH COUNTY JOHN "TUFFY" SNEDEGAR, FORMER SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2018 TAXES

For The Period April 17, 2018 Through January 6, 2019

Cl	County		Special Taxing Districts		School			State
Charges	Φ.	224 104	Φ.	1 205 206	Φ.	1 550 510	ф	266 121
Real Estate	\$	324,104	\$	1,387,386	\$	1,578,510	\$	366,121
Tangible		21,404		98,680		107,225		109,561
Total Per Sheriff's Official Receipt		345,508		1,486,066		1,685,735		475,682
Other Taxes & Charges								
Court Ordered Increases		581		2,542		2,828		656
Franchise Taxes		126,278		538,517		569,587		
Additional Billings		434		1,867		2,159		502
-								
Gross Chargeable to Sheriff		472,801		2,028,992		2,260,309		476,840
<u>Credits</u>								
Exonerations		2,007		8,903		9,776		2,267
Discounts		5,376		23,210		26,301		7,631
Transfers to Incoming Sheriff		36,598		157,346		178,313		41,778
Total Credits		43,981		189,459		214,390		51,676
Taxes Collected		428,820		1,839,533		2,045,919		425,164
Less: Sheriff's Commissions*		18,225		68,180		40,918		18,069
	-					<u> </u>		
Taxes Due Districts		410,595		1,771,353		2,005,001		407,095
Taxes Paid		382,359		1,654,495		1,881,684		326,769
Refunds (Current and Prior Year)		28,248		116,930		123,317		80,326
Refunds Due Sheriff	\$	(12)	\$	(72)	\$	0	\$	0

\* Commissions:

4.25% on \$ 1,997,234 4% on \$ 420,974 1% on \$ 275,309 2% on \$ 2,045,919

\*\* Special Taxing Districts:

Fire District \$ (72)

Refund Due Sheriff \$ (72)

# BATH COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

January 6, 2019

#### Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### A. Fund Accounting

The sheriff's office tax collection duties are limited to acting as an agent for assessed property owners and taxing districts. A fund is used to account for the collection and distribution of taxes. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

### B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a regulatory basis of accounting, which demonstrates compliance with the laws of Kentucky and is a special purpose framework. Basis of accounting refers to when charges, credits, and taxes paid are reported in the settlement statement. It relates to the timing of measurements regardless of the measurement focus.

Charges are sources of revenue which are recognized in the tax period in which they become available and measurable. Credits are reductions of revenue which are recognized when there is proper authorization. Taxes paid are uses of revenue which are recognized when distributions are made to the taxing districts and others.

#### C. Cash and Investments

KRS 66.480 authorizes the sheriff's office to invest in obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

# D. Preparation of State Settlement

The Kentucky Department of Revenue conducts the settlement relating to taxes collected for the state under the provision of KRS 134.192(2)(a). This is reported as the "State Taxes" column on the financial statement.

#### Note 2. Deposits

The former Bath County Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the FDIC as required by KRS 66.480(1)(d). According to KRS 41.240, the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution.

#### Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a depository institution failure, the sheriff's deposits may not be returned. The former Bath County Sheriff did not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk but rather followed the requirements of KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240. As of January 6, 2019, all deposits were covered by FDIC insurance or a properly executed collateral security agreement.

BATH COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT January 6, 2019 (Continued)

#### Note 3. Tax Collection Period

# A. Property Taxes

The real and personal property tax assessments were levied as of January 1, 2018. Property taxes were billed to finance governmental services for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2019. Liens are effective when the tax bills become delinquent. The collection period for these assessments was October 26, 2018 through January 6, 2019.

# B. Franchise Taxes

The franchise tax assessments were levied by the Department of Revenue for various tax years. Franchise taxes are billed to finance governmental services. Liens are effective when the tax bills become delinquent. The collection period for these assessments was April 17, 2018 through January 6, 2019.

#### Note 4. Interest Income

The former Bath County Sheriff earned \$486 as interest income on 2018 taxes. The former sheriff was in substantial compliance with his statutory responsibilities.

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS





# MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

The Honorable Bobby C. Rogers, Bath County Judge/Executive The Honorable John "Tuffy" Snedegar, Former Bath County Sheriff The Honorable Jessie Stewart, Bath County Sheriff Members of the Bath County Fiscal Court

> Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

#### Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the former Bath County Sheriff's Settlement - 2018 Taxes for the period April 17, 2018 through January 6, 2019 - Regulatory Basis and the related notes to the financial statement and have issued our report thereon dated November 20, 2019. The former Bath County Sheriff's financial statement is prepared on a regulatory basis of accounting, which demonstrates compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting and budget laws, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

# **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statement, we considered the former Bath County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the former Bath County Sheriff's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the former Bath County Sheriff's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control that we consider to be a material weakness.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses as item 2018-001 to be a material weakness.



Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards* (Continued)

### **Compliance And Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the former Bath County Sheriff's financial statement is free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed an instance of noncompliance or other matters that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and which is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses as item 2018-001.

# Views of Responsible Official and Planned Corrective Action

The former Bath County Sheriff's views and planned corrective action for the finding identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses. The former Bath County Sheriff's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statement and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

Mike Harmon

**Auditor of Public Accounts** 

November 20, 2019





# BATH COUNTY JOHN "TUFFY" SNEDEGAR, FORMER SHERIFF SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES

For The Period April 17, 2018 Through January 6, 2019

#### FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDING:

2018-001 The Former Bath County Sheriff's Tax Settlement Was Materially Misstated

The former Bath County Sheriff's tax settlement was materially misstated by \$214,707 due to not reporting franchise tax collections and distributions for the ambulance and fire districts. The former sheriff's county settlement when prepared did not include the ambulance and fire districts franchise collections for the 2018 tax year. Furthermore, the former sheriff did not ensure the tax settlement was complete and accurate prior to submitting it to the fiscal court for approval. As a result, the former sheriff's settlement presented and approved by the fiscal court was not a complete and accurate reporting of taxes collected as of January 6, 2019. The former sheriff approved an audit adjustment to correct the discrepancies. According to KRS 134.215 (3), "[e]ach outgoing sheriff shall make a final settlement with the department, the fiscal court and all districts for which his or her office collected taxes by March 15 immediately following the expiration of his or her term of office. The settlement shall address all charges of taxes made against the sheriff and all money received by him or her as sheriff, and shall include all of the information required for an annual settlement pursuant to KRS 134.192." KRS 134.192(5) states, "[t]he settlement shall show the amount of ad valorem tax collected for the county, the school district, and all taxing districts, and an itemized statement of the money disbursed to or on behalf of the county, the school district, and all taxing districts." The former sheriff should have reviewed the tax settlement to determine if it was complete and accurate by comparing it to supporting documentation such as the monthly tax reports.

Former Sheriff's Response: We will look over local settlement and let new Sheriff know.